

COBORDISMS OF MAPS WITHOUT PRESCRIBED SINGULARITIES

YOSHIFUMI ANDO

ABSTRACT. Let N and P be smooth closed manifolds of dimensions n and p respectively. Given a Thom-Boardman symbol I , a smooth map $f : N \rightarrow P$ is called an Ω^I -regular map if and only if the Thom-Boardman symbol of each singular point of f is not greater than I in the lexicographic order. We will represent the group of all cobordism classes of Ω^I -regular maps of n -dimensional closed manifolds into P in terms of certain stable homotopy groups. As an application we will study the relationship among the stable homotopy groups of spheres, the above cobordism group and higher singularities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let N and P be smooth (C^∞) manifolds of dimensions n and p respectively. Let $k \gg n, p$. Let $J^k(N, P)$ denote the k -jet bundle of the manifolds N and P with the canonical projection $\pi_N^k \times \pi_P^k$ onto $N \times P$, whose fiber is denoted by $J^k(n, p)$. Here, π_N^k and π_P^k map a k -jet to its source and target respectively. Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ be a Thom-Boardman symbol (simply symbol) where $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$ are a finite number of integers with $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 0$. In [13] there have been defined what is called the Boardman manifold $\Sigma^I(N, P)$ in $J^k(N, P)$. A smooth map germ $f : (N, x) \rightarrow (P, y)$ has x as a singularity of the symbol I if and only if $j_x^k f \in \Sigma^I(N, P)$. Let $\Omega^I(N, P)$ denote the open subset of $J^k(N, P)$ which consists of all Boardman manifolds $\Sigma^{I'}(N, P)$ with symbols I' of length k and $I' \leq I$. It is known that $\Omega^I(N, P)$ is an open subbundle of $J^k(N, P)$ over $N \times P$, whose fiber is denoted by $\Omega^I(n, p)$. A smooth map $f : N \rightarrow P$ is called an Ω^I -regular map if and only if $j^k f(N) \subset \Omega^I(N, P)$.

Let J be another Thom-Boardman symbol with $I \leq J$. In this paper we will represent the set of all cobordism classes as Ω^J -regular maps of Ω^I -regular maps of n -dimensional closed manifolds into P in terms of certain stable homotopy groups.

Let P be a connected (resp. an oriented) smooth manifold of dimension p . We define the notion of (resp. oriented) Ω^J -cobordisms of Ω^I -regular maps. Let $f_i : N_i \rightarrow P$ ($i = 0, 1$) be two Ω^I -regular maps, where N_i are closed (resp. oriented) smooth n -dimensional manifolds. We say that they are (resp. oriented) Ω^J -cobordant when there exists an Ω^J -regular map, say Ω^J -cobordism $F : (W, \partial W) \rightarrow (P \times [0, 1], P \times 0 \cup P \times 1)$ such that, for a sufficiently small positive number ε ,

- (i) W is a (resp. an oriented) smooth manifold of dimension $n + 1$ with $\partial W = N_0 \cup (-N_1)$ and the collar of ∂W is identified with $N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon] \cup N_1 \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$,
- (ii) $F|_{N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon]} = f_0 \times id_{[0, \varepsilon]}$ and $F|_{N_1 \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]} = f_1 \times id_{[1 - \varepsilon, 1]}$.

Let $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}$) denote the set of all (resp. oriented) Ω^J -cobordism classes of Ω^I -regular maps into P . We will provide them the structures of modules in Section 4.

We need some notion to represent them by using stable homotopy groups. Let $E \rightarrow X$ and $F \rightarrow Y$ be smooth vector bundles of dimensions n and p over smooth manifolds, and let π_X and π_Y be the projections of $X \times Y$ onto X and Y respectively. Define the vector bundle $J^k(E, F)$ over $X \times Y$ by

$$(1.1) \quad J^k(E, F) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Hom}(S^i(\pi_X^*(E)), \pi_Y^*(F)).$$

Here, $S^i(E)$ is the vector bundle $\cup_{x \in X} S^i(E_x)$ over X , where $S^i(E_x)$ denotes the i -fold symmetric product of E_x . The canonical fiber $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Hom}(S^i(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbb{R}^p)$ is canonically identified with $J^k(n, p)$. If we provide N and P with Riemannian metrics, then $J^k(TN, TP)$ is identified with $J^k(N, P)$ over $N \times P$ (see Section 2). Let $\Omega^I(E, F)$ denote the open subbundle of $J^k(E, F)$ associated to $\Omega^I(n, p)$.

Let G_m refer to the grassmann manifold $G_{m,\ell}$ (resp. oriented grassmann manifold $\tilde{G}_{m,\ell}$) of all (resp. oriented) m -subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{m+\ell}$. Let $\gamma_{G_m}^m$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{G_m}^\ell$ denote the canonical vector bundles of dimensions m and ℓ over the space G_m respectively such that $\gamma_{G_m}^m \oplus \hat{\gamma}_{G_m}^\ell$ is the trivial bundle $\varepsilon_{G_m}^{m+\ell}$. Let $T(\hat{\gamma}_{G_m}^\ell)$ denote the Thom spaces of $\hat{\gamma}_{G_m}^\ell$. Let $i^G : G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ denote the injection mapping an n -plane a to the $(n+1)$ -plane generated by a and the $(n+\ell+1)$ -th unit vector $\mathbf{e}_{n+\ell+1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+\ell+1}$. Let $i_{+1}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)} : \Omega^I(n, p) \rightarrow \Omega^J(n+1, p+1)$ denote the map which sends a k -jet $z = j_0^k f$ to $j_0^k(f \times id_{\mathbb{R}})$, where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is a map germ and $id_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the identity of $\mathbb{R}$. Set $\Omega_n^I = \Omega^I(\gamma_{G_n}^n, TP)$, $\Omega_{n+1}^J = \Omega^J(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$, $\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_n^I}^\ell = (\pi_{G_n}^k)^*(\hat{\gamma}_{G_n}^\ell)|_{\Omega_n^I}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}^J}^\ell = (\pi_{G_{n+1}}^k)^*(\hat{\gamma}_{G_{n+1}}^\ell)|_{\Omega_{n+1}^J}$, where $\varepsilon_P^1 = P \times \mathbb{R}$. There exists a fiberwise map $\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)} : \Omega_n^I \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}^J$ associated to $i_{+1}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}$ covering $i^G \times id_P$. Then $\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}$ induces the bundle map

$$\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)} : \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_n^I}^\ell \longrightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}^J}^\ell$$

covering $\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}$ and the Thom map $T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)})$. We denote the image of

$$(1.2) \quad T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)})_* : \pi_{n+\ell}(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_n^I}^\ell) \longrightarrow \pi_{n+\ell}(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}^J}^\ell)$$

by $\text{Im}^{\mathfrak{N}}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}))$ (resp. $\text{Im}^{\mathfrak{O}}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}))$) in the nonoriented (resp. the oriented) case. We are ready to state the main result of this paper. The following theorem will be proved by applying the homotopy principles in [15], [16] and [11].

Theorem 1.1. *Let n and p be natural numbers with $p > 1$ and $\ell \gg n, p$. Let P be a connected p -dimensional manifold and be oriented in the oriented case. Let I and J be Thom-Boardman symbols with $I \leq J$ such that if $n \geq p$, then $I \geq (n - p + 1, 0)$. Then there exist the isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathfrak{N}}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)} : \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)} &\longrightarrow \text{Im}^{\mathfrak{N}}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)})), \\ \omega_{\mathfrak{O}}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)} : \mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)} &\longrightarrow \text{Im}^{\mathfrak{O}}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)})). \end{aligned}$$

As for the image of $T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^I)})_*$ we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *If either (i) $n < p$ or (ii) $n = p \geq 1$ and $I = (1, 0)$, then the homomorphism $T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^I)})_*$ in (1.2) is surjective.*

These theorems show the importance of the homotopy type of $\Omega^I(n, p)$. In [6], [7], [8] and [9] we have determined the homotopy type of $\Omega^{(i,0)}(n, p)$ for $i = \max\{n - p + 1, 1\}$, and studied $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^{(i,0)}, \Omega_{n+1}^{(i,0)})}$ and $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^{(i,0)}, \Omega_{n+1}^{(i,0)})}$ in the case $n \geq p$.

When $n = p \geq 2$, $I = J = (1, 0)$ and P is closed, we will prove in Section 6 that $\pi_{n+\ell} \left(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)}}^\ell \right)$ is, in the oriented case, isomorphic to $\pi_{n+\ell}(T(\nu_P^\ell))$ by using the results in [6]. Let F_m denote the space of all base point preserving maps of the m -sphere S^m and let $F = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m$. By using S -dual spaces and duality maps in the suspension category in [35] and [39], we can prove that $\pi_{n+\ell}(T(\nu_P^\ell))$ is isomorphic to the set of homotopy classes $[P, F]$. Consequently, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *If $n = p \geq 2$ and P is a closed, connected, oriented and n -dimensional manifold, then there exists the isomorphism $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^{1,0}, \Omega_{n+1}^{1,0})} \rightarrow [P, F]$.*

We have constructed the surjection of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^{1,0}, \Omega_{n+1}^{1,0})}$ onto $[P, F]$ from a different point of view ([7, Corollary 2]). This surjection turns out to be a bijection. Namely, F is the classifying space of this cobordism group. Theorem 1.3 suggests that the stable homotopy groups representing these kind of cobordism groups yield many invariants related to the singularities of Ω^I -regular maps.

In [38] the group of the cobordism classes of smooth maps of n -dimensional manifolds into P having only a given class of C^∞ simple singularities has been represented by the homotopy classes of P to a certain space in the case $n < p$. The approach leading to their results is quite different from ours using homotopy principles in this paper. This should be compared with our Corollary 5.9.

We will actually work in a more general situation than above. We will generalize the definition of the maps in Theorem 1.1 in Section 3, and will prove the generalized forms of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Sections 4 and 5 respectively (see Theorem 5.6). Consequently we can apply these theorems to the groups of cobordism classes of smooth maps having only singularities of certain C^∞ simple types by using [10] and [17]. In Section 6 we will prove Theorem 1.3. We will argue by applying Theorem 1.3 that stable maps of spheres are detected by higher singularities through $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,S^n}^{(\Omega_n^{1,0}, \Omega_{n+1}^{1,0})}$ in low dimensions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper all manifolds are smooth of class C^∞ . Maps are basically continuous, but may be smooth (of class C^∞) if necessary. Given a fiber bundle $\pi^E : E \rightarrow X$ and a subset C in X , we denote $\pi^{-1}(C)$ by $E|_C$. Let $\pi^F : F \rightarrow Y$ be another fiber bundle. A map $\tilde{b} : E \rightarrow F$ is called a fiber map over a map $b : X \rightarrow Y$ if $\pi^F \circ \tilde{b} = b \circ \pi^E$ holds. The restriction $\tilde{b}|(E|_C) : E|_C \rightarrow F$ (or $F|_{b(C)}$) is denoted by $\tilde{b}|_C$. In particular, for a point $x \in X$, $E|_x$ and $\tilde{b}|_x$ are simply denoted by E_x and $\tilde{b}_x : E_x \rightarrow F_{b(x)}$ respectively. When E and F are vector bundles, a fiberwise homomorphism, epimorphism and monomorphism $E \rightarrow F$ are simply

called homomorphism, epimorphism and monomorphism respectively. The trivial bundle $X \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is denoted by ε_X^ℓ .

Let $E \rightarrow X$ (resp. $F \rightarrow Y$) be n -dimensional (resp. p -dimensional) vector bundle. Let us recall the k -jet bundle $J^k(E, F)$ with fiber $J^k(n, p)$ in Introduction, where k may be ∞ . The origin of $\mathbb{R}^m$ is simply denoted by 0. Let $L^k(m)$ denote the group of all k -jets of local diffeomorphisms of $(\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$. Let $h_1 : (\mathbb{R}^p, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ and $h_2 : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ be local diffeomorphisms. We define the action of $L^k(p) \times L^k(n)$ on $J^k(n, p)$ by $(j_0^k h_1, j_0^k h_2) \cdot j_0^k f = j_0^k (h_1 \circ f \circ h_2^{-1})$. In particular, $O(p) \times O(n)$ acts on $J^k(n, p)$. Let $\Omega(n, p)$ be an open subset of $J^k(n, p)$, which is invariant with respect to the action of $L^k(p) \times L^k(n)$. Let $\Omega(E, F)$ be the open subbundle of $J^k(E, F)$ associated to $\Omega(n, p)$. Let $E_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $F_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ be other n -dimensional vector bundles, and let $\tilde{b}_1 : E \rightarrow E_1$ and $\tilde{b}_2 : F \rightarrow F_1$ be bundle maps covering $b_1 : X \rightarrow X_1$ and $b_2 : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ respectively. Then $\tilde{b}_1$ and $\tilde{b}_2$ yield the isomorphisms $S^i(E_x) \rightarrow S^i(E_{1, b_1(x)})$ and $S^i(F_y) \rightarrow S^i(F_{1, b_2(y)})$ for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and hence, we have the bundle map

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{j}(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2) : J^k(E, F) \rightarrow J^k(E_1, F_1)$$

covering $b_1 \times b_2$. Then $\mathbf{j}(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2)$ induces the bundle map $\mathbf{j}(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2)_\Omega : \Omega(E, F) \rightarrow \Omega(E_1, F_1)$.

If we provide N and P with Riemannian metrics, then the Levi-Civita connections induce the exponential maps $\exp_{N,x} : T_x N \rightarrow N$ and $\exp_{P,y} : T_y P \rightarrow P$. In dealing with the exponential maps we always consider the convex neighborhoods ([28]). We define the smooth bundle map

$$(2.2) \quad J^k(N, P) \rightarrow J^k(TN, TP) \quad \text{over } N \times P$$

by sending $z = j_x^k f \in (\pi_N^k \times \pi_P^k)^{-1}(x, y)$ to the k -jet of $(\exp_{P,y})^{-1} \circ f \circ \exp_{N,x}$ at $\mathbf{0} \in T_x N$, which is regarded as an element of $J^k(T_x N, T_y P) (= J_{x,y}^k(TN, TP))$ (see [28, Proposition 8.1] for the smoothness of exponential maps). More strictly, (2.2) gives a smooth equivalence of the fiber bundles under the structure group $L^k(p) \times L^k(n)$. Namely, it gives a smooth reduction of the structure group $L^k(p) \times L^k(n)$ of $J^k(N, P)$ to $O(p) \times O(n)$, which is the structure group of $J^k(TN, TP)$. Let us recall Boardman submanifolds (see [13], [29]). The Boardman submanifold $\Sigma^I(N, P)$ of $J^k(N, P)$ is identified with $\Sigma^I(TN, TP)$ under (2.2). The same is true for $\Omega^I(N, P)$ and $\Omega^I(TN, TP)$.

3. MAPS $\omega_{\mathfrak{N}}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}$ AND $\omega_{\Omega}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}$

Let $i_{+1} : J^k(n, p) \rightarrow J^k(n+1, p+1)$ be the map defined by $i_{+1}(j_0^k f) = j_0^k (f \times id_{\mathbb{R}})$. Let $\Omega(n, p)$ be given as in Section 2. Let $\Omega^*(n+1, p+1)$ be an open subset of $J^k(n+1, p+1)$ which is invariant with respect to the action of $L^k(p+1) \times L^k(n+1)$ such that $i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p)) \subset \Omega^*(n+1, p+1)$. Let $\Omega(\gamma_{G_n}^n, TP)$ and $\Omega^*(\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$ be the open subbundles of $J^k(\gamma_{G_n}^n, TP)$ and $J^k(\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$ associated to $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega^*(n+1, p+1)$ respectively. Then we have the fiber map $\mathbf{i}_{+1}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} : \Omega(\gamma_{G_n}^n, TP) \rightarrow \Omega^*(\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$ over $G_n \times P$ associated to $i_{+1}|_{\Omega(n, p)} : \Omega(n, p) \rightarrow \Omega^*(n+1, p+1)$. Let $\mathbf{c}_{\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1} : \gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1 \rightarrow \gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}$ be the bundle map, which is induced from, and is covered by $i^G : G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$. Let us define

$$\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} : \Omega(\gamma_{G_n}^n, TP) \longrightarrow \Omega^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$$

to be the composite of $\mathbf{i}_{+1}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$ and

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1}, id_{TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1})_{\Omega^*} : \Omega^*(\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1) \longrightarrow \Omega^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1).$$

We set $\Omega = \Omega(\gamma_{G_n}^n, TP)$, $\Omega^* = \Omega^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$, $\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega}^{\ell} = (\pi_{G_n}^k)^*(\hat{\gamma}_{G_n}^{\ell})|_{\Omega}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega^*}^{\ell} = (\pi_{G_{n+1}}^k)^*(\hat{\gamma}_{G_{n+1}}^{\ell})|_{\Omega^*}$ for simplicity. Since $(i^G)^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}) = \gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1$ and $(i^G)^*(\hat{\gamma}_{G_{n+1}}^{\ell}) = \hat{\gamma}_{G_n}^{\ell}$, we have the bundle maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}(\gamma \oplus \varepsilon^1)^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} : (\pi_{G_n}^k)^*(\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1)|_{\Omega} &\longrightarrow (\pi_{G_{n+1}}^k)^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1})|_{\Omega^*}, \\ \mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} : \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega}^{\ell} &\longrightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega^*}^{\ell} \end{aligned}$$

and the Thom map $T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)})$. Thus we have the homomorphism

$$T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)})_* : \pi_{n+\ell}(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega}^{\ell})) \longrightarrow \pi_{n+\ell}(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega^*}^{\ell})).$$

We denote the image of $T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)})_*$ by $\text{Im}^{\mathfrak{N}}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}))$ in the case of $G_m = G_{m,\ell}$ or by $\text{Im}^{\mathfrak{D}}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}))$ in the case of $G_m = \tilde{G}_{m,\ell}$ and P being oriented respectively.

Definition 3.1. We define $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$) to be the set of all (resp. oriented) Ω^* -cobordism classes of Ω -regular maps of (resp. oriented) n -dimensional manifolds into a connected (resp. oriented) manifold P by following the definition of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n^I, \Omega_{n+1}^J)}$) in Introduction and by replacing Ω_n^I and Ω_{n+1}^J by Ω and Ω^* respectively.

Let $\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$ refer to $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$ or $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$ and let $\text{Im}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}))$ refer to $\text{Im}^{\mathfrak{N}}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}))$ or $\text{Im}^{\mathfrak{D}}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}))$ for simplicity, depending on whether we work in the nonoriented case or oriented case respectively.

Let M be an m -dimensional compact manifold such that M should be oriented in the oriented case. Take an embedding $e_M : M \rightarrow S^{m+\ell}$ and identify M with $e_M(M)$. Let $c_M : M \rightarrow G_m$ be the classifying map defined by sending a point $x \in M$ to the m -plane $T_x M \in G_m$. Let ν_M^{ℓ} be the orthogonal normal bundle of M in $S^{m+\ell}$. Let $\mathbf{c}_{TM} : TM \rightarrow \gamma_{G_m}^m$ (resp. $\mathbf{c}_{\nu_M} : \nu_M^{\ell} \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{G_m}^{\ell}$) be the bundle map covering the classifying map $c_M : M \rightarrow G_m$, which is defined by sending a vector $\mathbf{v}$ of $T_x M$ (resp. $\mathbf{w} \in \nu_{M,x}^{\ell}$) to $(T_x M, \mathbf{v})$ (resp. $(T_x M, \mathbf{w})$). Then we have the canonical trivializations $t_M : TM \oplus \nu_M^{\ell} \rightarrow \varepsilon_M^{m+\ell}$ and $t_{G_m} : \gamma_{G_m}^m \oplus \hat{\gamma}_{G_m}^{\ell} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{G_m}^{m+\ell}$. Then we have $t_{G_m} \circ (\mathbf{c}_{TM} \oplus \mathbf{c}_{\nu_M}) \circ t_M^{-1} = c_M \times id_{\mathbb{R}^{m+\ell}}$. Furthermore, if there is a map $s_M : M \rightarrow \Omega(\gamma_{G_m}^m, TP)$ with $\pi_{G_m}^k \circ s_M$ is homotopic (resp. equal) to c_M , then $\mathbf{c}_{TM}$, $\mathbf{c}_{\nu_M}$ and the projection $\pi_{G_m}^k : \Omega(\gamma_{G_m}^m, TP) \rightarrow G_m$ induce the bundle maps $\mathbf{C}_{TM} : TM \rightarrow (\pi_{G_m}^k)^* \gamma_{G_m}^m|_{\Omega}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\nu_M} : \nu_M^{\ell} \rightarrow (\pi_{G_m}^k)^* \hat{\gamma}_{G_m}^{\ell}|_{\Omega}$ covering s_M such that $t_{\Omega_m} \circ (\mathbf{C}_{TM} \oplus \mathbf{C}_{\nu_M}) \circ t_M^{-1}$ is homotopic (resp. equal) to $s_M \times id_{\mathbb{R}^{m+\ell}}$, where $\Omega_m = \Omega(\gamma_{G_m}^m, TP)$ and $t_{\Omega_m} : (\pi_{G_m}^k)^*(\gamma_{G_m}^m \oplus \hat{\gamma}_{G_m}^{\ell})|_{\Omega_m} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\Omega_m}^{m+\ell}$ is the trivialization induced from t_{G_m} .

Take an embedding $e_N : N \rightarrow S^{n+\ell}$ and apply the above notation. Then we have the bundle map

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TN}, id_{TP})_{\Omega} : \Omega(TN, TP) \rightarrow \Omega = \Omega(\gamma_{G_n}^n, TP).$$

Let $f : N \rightarrow P$ be an Ω -regular map and $j^k f : N \rightarrow \Omega(TN, TP)$ be the jet extension of f . Then we have the composites

$$\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} \circ \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TN}, id_{TP})_\Omega \circ j^k f : N \rightarrow \Omega^* = \Omega^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1).$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} \circ \mathbf{C}_{\nu_N} : \nu_N^\ell \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega^*}^\ell$$

covering $\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} \circ \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TN}, id_{TP})_\Omega \circ j^k f$. Let $a_N : S^{n+\ell} \rightarrow T(\nu_N^\ell)$ be the Pontrjagin-Thom construction. We now define the map

$$\omega : \mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} \rightarrow \text{Im} \left(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \right)$$

by mapping the cobordism class $[f]$ to the homotopy class of $T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \circ T(\mathbf{C}_{\nu_N}) \circ a_N$. Here, ω refers to $\omega_{\mathfrak{N}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$ or $\omega_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$ depending on whether we work in the nonoriented case or oriented case. In the rest of the paper we often deal with the nonoriented case and oriented case at the same time. We have to prove that $\omega([f])$ does not depend on the choice of a representative f .

Lemma 3.2. *Let two Ω -regular maps $f_i : N_i \rightarrow P$ ($i = 0, 1$) are Ω^* -cobordant. Then we have $\omega_{\mathfrak{N}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}([f_0]) = \omega_{\mathfrak{N}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}([f_1])$. If N_i, P are oriented and f_i ($i = 0, 1$) are oriented Ω^* -cobordant, then we have $\omega_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}([f_0]) = \omega_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}([f_1])$.*

Proof. Let $F : (W, \partial W) \rightarrow (P \times [0, 1], P \times 0 \cup P \times 1)$ be an Ω^* -cobordism of f_0 and f_1 as given in Definition 3.1. Take embeddings $e_{N_i} : N_i \rightarrow S^{n+\ell}$ and $e_W : W \rightarrow S^{n+\ell} \times [0, 1]$ such that

- (i) $e_W|_{N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon]} = e_{N_0} \times id_{[0, \varepsilon]}$,
- (ii) $e_W|_{N_1 \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]} = e_{N_1} \times id_{[1 - \varepsilon, 1]}$.

We identify N_i and W with $e_{N_i}(N_i)$ and $e_W(W)$ respectively as above. Let us identify as $TW|_{N_i} = TN_i \oplus \varepsilon_{N_i}^1$. Then we may assume that the trivializations

$$t_{N_i} : TN_i \oplus \nu_{N_i}^\ell \rightarrow \varepsilon_{N_i}^{n+\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad t_W : TW \oplus \nu_W^\ell \rightarrow \varepsilon_W^{n+\ell+1}$$

satisfy $t_W|_{N_i} = (t_{N_i} \oplus id_{\varepsilon_{N_i}^1}) \circ (id_{TN_i} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{N_i}^\sim)$, where $\mathbf{k}_{N_i}^\sim : \varepsilon_{N_i}^1 \oplus \nu_{N_i}^\ell \rightarrow \nu_{N_i}^\ell \oplus \varepsilon_{N_i}^1$ is the map exchanging the components of $\varepsilon_{N_i}^1$ and $\nu_{N_i}^\ell$ and $\varepsilon_{N_i}^{n+\ell} \oplus \varepsilon_{N_i}^1 = \varepsilon_{N_i}^{n+\ell+1}$. Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{c}_{\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1} \circ (\mathbf{c}_{TN_i} \oplus ((i^G \circ c_{N_i}) \times id_{\mathbb{R}})) = \mathbf{c}_{TW}|_{TN_i} \oplus \varepsilon_{N_i}^1, \\ & \mathbf{C}_{\nu_W}|_{N_i} = \mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} \circ \mathbf{C}_{\nu_{N_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a_W : S^{n+k+1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T(\nu_W^\ell)$ be the Pontrjagin-Thom construction for e_W . Then the composite $T(\mathbf{C}_{\nu_W}) \circ a_W$ gives a homotopy between $T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \circ T(\mathbf{C}_{\nu_{N_0}}) \circ a_{N_0}$ and $T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \circ T(\mathbf{C}_{\nu_{N_1}}) \circ a_{N_1}$. This proves $\omega([f_0]) = \omega([f_1])$. In the oriented case we only need to provide manifolds, which appear in the proof, with the orientations. $\square$

4. $\omega_{\mathfrak{N}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$ AND $\omega_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}$ ARE ISOMORPHISMS

Let $\mathcal{O}(m, q)$ be an open subset of $J^k(m, q)$, which is invariant with respect to the action $L^k(q) \times L^k(m)$. Given an m -dimensional manifold M with ∂M and an q -dimensional manifold Q , we can define the open subbundle $\mathcal{O}(M, Q)$ in $J^k(M, Q)$. Let $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}(M, Q)$ denote the space consisting of all $\mathcal{O}$ -regular maps equipped with the C^∞ -topology. Let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(M, Q)$ denote the space consisting of all continuous sections

of the fiber bundle $\pi_M^k | \mathcal{O}(M, Q) : \mathcal{O}(M, Q) \rightarrow M$ equipped with the compact-open topology. Then there exists the continuous map

$$j_{\mathcal{O}} : C_{\mathcal{O}}^{\infty}(M, Q) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(M, Q)$$

defined by $j_{\mathcal{O}}(f) = j^k f$.

Definition 4.1. *In this paper we say that $\mathcal{O}(m, q)$ satisfies the relative homotopy principle in the existence level if the following property holds. Let M and Q be any manifolds as above. Let C be a closed subset of M such that if $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, then $\partial M \subset C$. Let s be a section of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(M, Q)$ which has an $\mathcal{O}$ -regular map g defined on a neighborhood of C into Q , where $j^k g = s$. Then there exists an $\mathcal{O}$ -regular map $f : M \rightarrow Q$ such that $j^k f$ is homotopic to s relative to a neighborhood of C by a homotopy s_{λ} in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(M, Q)$ with $s_0 = s$ and $s_1 = j^k f$.*

Let $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega^*(n+1, p+1)$ be an open subset of $J^k(n, p)$ and $J^k(n+1, p+1)$ respectively. We say that the pair $(\Omega(n, p), \Omega^*(n+1, p+1))$ is *admissible to the h -Principle* if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) $\Omega(n, p)$ (resp. $\Omega^*(n+1, p+1)$) is invariant with respect to the action of $L^k(p) \times L^k(n)$ (resp. $L^k(p+1) \times L^k(n+1)$).
- (ii) $i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p)) \subset \Omega^*(n+1, p+1)$.
- (iii) $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega^*(n+1, p+1)$ satisfy the relative homotopy principle in the existence level in Definition 4.1 respectively.

Theorem 4.2. *Let P be a connected p -dimensional manifold and be oriented in the oriented case. Assume that the pair $(\Omega(n, p), \Omega^*(n+1, p+1))$ is admissible to the h -Principle. Then the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathfrak{N}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} : \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n, P}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} &\longrightarrow \text{Im}^{\mathfrak{N}} \left(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \right) \\ \omega_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} : \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n, P}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} &\longrightarrow \text{Im}^{\mathfrak{D}} \left(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

are bijective.

Proof. In the oriented case we only need to provide manifolds which appear in the proof with the orientations.

We first prove that ω is injective. For this, take two Ω -regular maps $f_i : N_i \rightarrow P$ ($i = 0, 1$) such that $\omega([f_0]) = \omega([f_1])$. Recall the map $T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \circ T(\mathbf{c}_{\nu_{N_i}}) \circ a_{N_i}$, which represents $\omega([f_i])$. Let $pr_X : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be the canonical projection for a space X . There is a homotopy $H : S^{n+\ell} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega^*}^{\ell})$ such that if ε is sufficiently small, then

- (i) $H|S^{n+\ell} \times [0, \varepsilon] = T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \circ T(\mathbf{c}_{\nu_{N_0}}) \circ a_{N_0} \circ (pr_{S^{n+\ell}}|S^{n+\ell} \times [0, \varepsilon])$,
- (ii) $H|S^{n+\ell} \times [1-\varepsilon, 1] = T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \circ T(\mathbf{c}_{\nu_{N_1}}) \circ a_{N_1} \circ (pr_{S^{n+\ell}}|S^{n+\ell} \times [1-\varepsilon, 1])$,
- (iii) H is smooth around $H^{-1}(\Omega^*)$ and is transverse to Ω^* .

We set $W = H^{-1}(\Omega^*)$. Then we have

- (iv) $W \cap S^{n+\ell} \times [0, \varepsilon] = N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon]$ and $H|N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon] = \mathbf{j}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} \circ \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TN_0}, id_{TP})_{\Omega} \circ j^k f_0 \circ (pr_{N_0}|(N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon]))$,
- (v) $W \cap S^{n+\ell} \times [1-\varepsilon, 1] = N_1 \times [1-\varepsilon, 1]$ and $H|N_1 \times [1-\varepsilon, 1] = \mathbf{j}^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} \circ \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TN_1}, id_{TP})_{\Omega} \circ j^k f_1 \circ (pr_{N_1}|(N_1 \times [1-\varepsilon, 1]))$,
- (vi) $TW|_{N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon]} = (TN_0 \oplus \varepsilon_{N_0}^1) \times [0, \varepsilon]$ and $TW|_{N_1 \times [1-\varepsilon, 1]} = (TN_1 \oplus \varepsilon_{N_1}^1) \times [1-\varepsilon, 1]$.
- (vii) $\nu_W|_{N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon]} = \nu_{N_0} \times [0, \varepsilon]$ and $\nu_W|_{N_1 \times [1-\varepsilon, 1]} = \nu_{N_1} \times [1-\varepsilon, 1]$.

By (iii) we have the bundle map $\mathbf{C}'_{\nu_W} : \nu_W \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega^*}^\ell$ such that
 (viii) $\mathbf{C}'_{\nu_W}|_{N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon]} = \mathbf{C}_{\nu_{N_0}} \circ (pr_{\nu_{N_0}}|_{\nu_{N_0} \times [0, \varepsilon]})$ by (i) and (ii),
 (ix) $\mathbf{C}'_{\nu_W}|_{N_1 \times [1-\varepsilon, 1]} = \mathbf{C}_{\nu_{N_1}} \circ (pr_{\nu_{N_1}}|_{\nu_{N_1} \times [1-\varepsilon, 1]})$ by (ii) and (vii).
 It follows from [6, Proposition 3.3] that there exists a bundle map

$$\mathbf{C}'_{TW} : TW \rightarrow (\pi_{G_{n+1}}^k)^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1})|_{\Omega^*}$$

covering $H|W : W \rightarrow \Omega^*$ such that $t_{\Omega^*} \circ (\mathbf{C}'_{TW} \oplus \mathbf{C}'_{\nu_W}) \circ t_W^{-1}$ is homotopic to $(H|W) \times id_{\mathbb{R}^{n+\ell+1}}$. Since $\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}$ is the universal bundle ($\ell \gg n$), $\mathbf{C}'_{TW}$ is homotopic to $\mathbf{C}_{TW}$. Furthermore, we may assume by (iv), (v) and (vi) that

$$(x) \mathbf{C}'_{TW}|_{N_0 \times t} = \mathbf{b}(\gamma \oplus \varepsilon^1)^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} \circ (\mathbf{C}_{T(N_0 \times t)} \oplus (c_{N_0 \times t} \times id_{\mathbb{R}})) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$(xi) \mathbf{C}'_{TW}|_{N_1 \times t} = \mathbf{b}(\gamma \oplus \varepsilon^1)^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)} \circ (\mathbf{C}_{T(N_1 \times t)} \oplus (c_{N_1 \times t} \times id_{\mathbb{R}})) \text{ for } 1 - \varepsilon \leq t \leq 1.$$

Hence, c_W is homotopic to $\pi_{G_{n+1}}^k \circ H|W$ relative to $N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon] \cup N_1 \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$. Let $pr_{TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1} : T(P \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1$ be the canonical bundle map covering $pr_P : P \times [0, 1] \rightarrow P$. Then we have the bundle map

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TW}, pr_{TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1})_{\Omega^*} : \Omega^*(TW, T(P \times [0, 1])) \longrightarrow \Omega^* = \Omega^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$$

covering $c_W \times pr_P$. Therefore, since $[0, 1]$ is contractible, there is the section $s_W : W \rightarrow \Omega^*(TW, T(P \times [0, 1]))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{P \times [0, 1]}^k \circ s_W|_{N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon]} &= f_0 \times id_{[0, \varepsilon]}, \\ \pi_{P \times [0, 1]}^k \circ s_W|_{N_1 \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]} &= f_1 \times id_{[1 - \varepsilon, 1]}, \\ pr_P \circ \pi_{P \times [0, 1]}^k \circ s_W &= \pi_P^k \circ (H|W), \end{aligned}$$

and that $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TW}, pr_{TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1})_{\Omega^*} \circ s_W$ is homotopic to $H|W$ relative to $N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon] \cup N_1 \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$.

Since $\Omega^*(TW, T(P \times [0, 1]))$ satisfies the relative homotopy principle in the existence level, there exists an Ω^* -regular map $F : W \rightarrow P \times [0, 1]$ such that $F(x, t) = f_0(x) \times t$ for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$, $F(x, t) = f_1(x) \times t$ for $1 - \varepsilon \leq t \leq 1$ and that $j^k F$ is homotopic to s_W relative to $N_0 \times [0, \varepsilon/2] \cup N_1 \times [1 - \varepsilon/2, 1]$. This implies that the Ω -regular maps f_0 and f_1 are Ω^* -cobordant. This proves that ω is injective.

We next prove that ω is surjective. Let an element $\tilde{\alpha}$ of $\text{Im}(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}))$ be represented by a map $\alpha : S^{n+\ell} \rightarrow T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega}^\ell)$ such that $(T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}))_*([\alpha]) = \tilde{\alpha}$. We may suppose that α is smooth around $\alpha^{-1}(\Omega)$ and is transverse to Ω . we set $N = \alpha^{-1}(\Omega)$. If $N = \emptyset$, then $[\alpha]$ must be a null element, while we can deform α so that $N \neq \emptyset$ even in this case. Since α is transverse to Ω , we have the bundle map $\mathbf{C}'_{\nu_N} : \nu_N \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega}^\ell$ covering $\alpha|N$. It follows from [6, Proposition 3.3] that there exists a bundle map

$$\mathbf{C}'_{TN \oplus \varepsilon_N^1} : TN \oplus \varepsilon_N^1 \rightarrow (\pi_{G_n}^k)^*(\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1)|_{\Omega}$$

covering $\alpha|N : N \rightarrow \Omega$ such that the composite

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{\Omega} \oplus id_{\varepsilon_{\Omega}^1}) \circ (id_{(\pi_{G_n}^k)^*(\gamma_{G_n}^n \oplus \varepsilon_{G_n}^1)} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{\tilde{G}_n}^{\sim}) \\ \circ (\mathbf{C}'_{TN \oplus \varepsilon_N^1} \oplus \mathbf{C}'_{\nu_N}) \circ (id_{TN \oplus \mathbf{k}_N^{\sim}}) \circ (t_N^{-1} \oplus id_{\varepsilon_N^1}) \end{aligned}$$

is homotopic to $(\alpha|N) \times id_{\mathbb{R}^{n+\ell+1}}$. Since $\gamma_{G_n}^n$ is the universal bundle ($\ell \gg n$), $\mathbf{C}'_{TN \oplus \varepsilon_N^1}$ is homotopic to $\mathbf{C}_{TN} \oplus ((\alpha|N) \times id_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $t_{\Omega} \circ (\mathbf{C}_{TN} \oplus \mathbf{C}'_{\nu_N}) \circ t_N^{-1}$ is homotopic to $(\alpha|N) \times id_{\mathbb{R}^{n+\ell}}$. Hence, c_N is homotopic to $\pi_{G_n}^k \circ \alpha|N$. By [6, Proposition 3.3] again, $\mathbf{C}'_{\nu_N}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\nu_N}$ are homotopic as bundle maps $\nu_N \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega}^\ell$. Since we

have the bundle map

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TN}, id_{TP})_{\Omega} : \Omega(TN, TP) \longrightarrow \Omega = \Omega(\gamma_{G_n}^n, TP)$$

covering c_N , there is the section $s_N : N \rightarrow \Omega(TN, TP)$ such that $\pi_P^k \circ s_N = \pi_P^k \circ \alpha|_N$ and $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TN}, id_{TP})_{\Omega} \circ s_N$ is homotopic to $\alpha|_N$. Since $\Omega(TN, TP)$ satisfies the relative homotopy principle in the existence level, there exists an Ω -regular map $f : N \rightarrow P$ such that $j^k f$ is homotopic to s_N . This implies that $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{c}_{TN}, id_{TP})_{\Omega} \circ j^k f$ and $\alpha|_N$ are homotopic. This proves that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega([f]) &= [T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \circ T(\mathbf{C}_{\nu_N}) \circ a_N] \\ &= [T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega^*)}) \circ T(\mathbf{C}'_{\nu_N}) \circ a_N] \\ &= [T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega, \Omega')}) \circ \alpha] \\ &= \tilde{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This is what we want. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{O}(m, q)$ be an open subset of $J^k(m, q)$, which is invariant with respect to the action $L^k(q) \times L^k(m)$. In [16] du Plessis has called $\mathcal{O}(m, q)$ *extensible* when there exists an open subset $\mathcal{O}'(m+1, q)$ of $J^k(m+1, q)$, which is invariant with respect to the action $L^k(q) \times L^k(m+1)$, such that $\tilde{i}(\mathcal{O}'(m+1, q)) = \mathcal{O}(m, q)$. Here, $\tilde{i}$ is the map which is canonically induced by the inclusion $i_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^m \times 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. This *extensibility* yields that not only $j_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, but also $\mathcal{O}(m, q)$ satisfies the relative homotopy principle in the existence level. However, the last assertion is not stated explicitly. So we explain an outline of the proof.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{O}(m, q)$ be an extensible open subset as given above. Then $\mathcal{O}(m, q)$ satisfies the relative homotopy principle in the existence level in Definition 4.1.*

Proof. For the $\mathcal{O}$ -regular map g and the closed subset C in Definition 4.1, we take a closed neighborhood $V(C)$ of C such that $V(C)$ is an n -dimensional submanifold with boundary and that g is defined on a neighborhood of $V(C)$, where $j^k g = s$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $N \setminus \text{Int}V(C)$ is nonempty. Take a smooth function $h_C : N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} h_C(x) = 1 & \text{for } x \in C, \\ h_C(x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in N \setminus \text{Int}V(C), \\ 0 < h_C(x) < 1 & \text{for } x \in \text{Int}V(C) \setminus C. \end{cases}$$

By the Sard Theorem ([25]) there is a regular value r of h_C with $0 < r < 1$. Then $h_C^{-1}(r)$ is a submanifold and we set $L_0 = h_C^{-1}([r, 1])$. We may represent N as the union of an increasing finite sequence

$$L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_i \subset \cdots \subset L_{\sigma} = N$$

of compact n -manifolds with boundary such that $L_{i+1} = L_i \cup_{\partial L_i} (\partial L_i \times [0, 1]) \cup H_{i+1}$, where H_{i+1} is the j -handle $D^j \times D^{n-j}$ with $\partial L_i \times 1 \cap H_{i+1} = \partial D^j \times D^{n-j}$. For a sufficiently small ε , we set $L_{i+1}^{\varepsilon} = L_i \cup_{\partial L_i} (\partial L_i \times [0, \varepsilon])$.

Let $\rho_{C^\infty}^{i+1}$ and $\rho_{\Gamma_\mathcal{O}}^{i+1}$ in the following diagram denote the maps, which are canonically induced from the inclusion $L_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow L_{i+1}^\varepsilon$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\mathcal{O}^\infty(L_{i+1}^\varepsilon, P) & \xrightarrow{j_\mathcal{O}} & \Gamma_\mathcal{O}(L_{i+1}^\varepsilon, P) \\ \rho_{C^\infty}^{i+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{\Gamma_\mathcal{O}}^{i+1} \\ C_\mathcal{O}^\infty(L_i^\varepsilon, P) & \xrightarrow{j_\mathcal{O}} & \Gamma_\mathcal{O}(L_i^\varepsilon, P). \end{array}$$

It has been proved in [22] and [16] that $\rho_{C^\infty}^{i+1}$ and $\rho_{\Gamma_\mathcal{O}}^{i+1}$ are Serre fibrations. By the induction on $i \geq 0$ we construct an $\mathcal{O}$ -regular map $g^{i+1} \in C^\infty(L_{i+1}^\varepsilon, P)$, a homotopy $u_\lambda^{i+1} \in \Gamma_\mathcal{O}(L_{i+1}^\varepsilon, P)$ relative to L_0 and a homotopy $s_\lambda^{i+1} \in \Gamma_\mathcal{O}(L_{i+1}^\varepsilon, P)$ relative to L_i such that $u_0^{i+1} = s|L_{i+1}^\varepsilon$, $u_1^{i+1}|L_i = j^k g^i$, $g^{i+1}|L_i = g^i$, $u_1^{i+1} = s_0^{i+1}$, $s_0^{i+1}|L_i = j^k g^i$ and $s_1^{i+1} = j^k g^{i+1}$. Let $v_\lambda^{i+1} \in \Gamma_\mathcal{O}(L_{i+1}^\varepsilon, P)$ be the homotopy defined by $v_\lambda^{i+1} = u_{2\lambda}^{i+1}$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1/2$) and $v_\lambda^{i+1} = u_{2\lambda-1}^{i+1}$ ($1/2 \leq \lambda \leq 1$).

In fact, we start with $g^0 = g|L_0^\varepsilon$ and $u_\lambda^0 = s_\lambda^0 = s|L_0^\varepsilon$ for any λ , and next assume that g^i , u_λ^i and s_λ^i are already constructed. By applying the homotopy extension property to $s|L_{i+1}^\varepsilon$ and v_λ^i , we have the homotopy $u_\lambda^{i+1} \in \Gamma_\mathcal{O}(L_{i+1}^\varepsilon, P)$ relative to L_0 such that $u_0^{i+1} = s|L_{i+1}^\varepsilon$, $u_\lambda^{i+1}|L_i^\varepsilon = v_\lambda^i|L_i^\varepsilon$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{C^\infty}^{i+1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_\mathcal{O}}^{i+1}$ denote the fibers of $\rho_{C^\infty}^{i+1}$ and $\rho_{\Gamma_\mathcal{O}}^{i+1}$ over g^i and $j^k g^i$ respectively. Since $j_\mathcal{O}$ induces the weakly homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{F}_{C^\infty}^{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_\mathcal{O}}^{i+1}$, we have an $\mathcal{O}$ -regular map g^{i+1} and a homotopy s_λ^{i+1} relative to L_i such that $g^{i+1}|L_i = g^i$, $s_0^{i+1} = u_1^{i+1}$, $s_1^{i+1} = j^\infty g^{i+1}$. This is what we want.

Define $s_\lambda \in \Gamma_\mathcal{O}(L_\sigma, P)$ to be the homotopy v_λ^σ . Then we have $s_0 = s$ and the required $\mathcal{O}$ -regular map $f = g^\sigma$ with $s_1 = j^k g^\sigma$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$. It has been proved in [15] that if $i_k > n - p - d^I$, where d^I is the sum of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}$ with α_ℓ being 1 or 0 depending on $i_\ell - i_{\ell+1} > 1$ or otherwise, then $\Omega^I(n, p)$ is extensible.

Let $n < p$. By Lemma 4.3, $\Omega^I(n, p)$ and $\Omega^J(n+1, p+1)$ satisfy the relative homotopy principle in the existence level (see also [24] and [21]).

In [11, Theorem 0.1] it has been proved that if $n \geq p \geq 2$ and $I \geq (n-p+1, 0)$, then $\Omega^I(n, p)$ and $\Omega^J(n+1, p+1)$ satisfy the relative homotopy principle in the existence level. Here we remark the following. In [11, Theorem 0.1] V is assumed to be $\partial V = \emptyset$. However, this does not matter, because we only need to consider the manifold $V - \partial V$.

Therefore, the pair $(\Omega^I(n, p), \Omega^J(n+1, p+1))$ is admissible to the h-Principle if (i) $n < p$ or (ii) $n \geq p$ and $I \geq (n-p+1, 0)$. This proves the theorem. $\square$

In [17, Section 0, Theorem] there have been given extensible open subsets $\Omega(n, p)$, which are associated to smooth maps having only singularities of certain C^∞ simple type. In [10] we have constructed the submanifolds $\Sigma D_i(n, p)$ ($i \geq 4$) and $\Sigma E_i(n, p)$ ($i = 6, 7$ or 8) in $J^k(n, p)$, which play the similar role for the singularities of types D_i and E_i respectively as $\Sigma^{(n-p+1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)}(n, p)$ does for the singularities of type A_i (see [12]). Consider a subset $\Omega(n, p)$ of $J^k(n, p)$, which consists of all regular jets and a number of prescribed submanifolds $\Sigma^{(n-p+1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)}(n, p)$, $\Sigma D_i(n, p)$ and $\Sigma E_j(n, p)$. We can find when $\Omega(n, p)$ becomes open by using the adjacency relations of these singularities given in [12, Corollary 8.7]. We can apply Theorem 4.2 to these open subsets $\Omega(n, p)$ (see also Corollary 5.9).

Let us provide $\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega,\Omega^*)}$ with the structure of a module so that ω is an isomorphism. This is a standard argument and the details are left to the reader. Given two Ω -regular maps $f_i : N_i \rightarrow P$ ($i = 0, 1$), we define the sum $[f_0] + [f_1]$ to be the cobordism class of the Ω -regular maps $f : N_0 \cup N_1 \rightarrow P$ defined by $f|_{N_i} = f_i$. The null element is defined to be represented by an Ω -regular maps $f : N \rightarrow P$, which has an Ω^* -cobordism $F : (W, \partial W) \rightarrow (P \times [0, 1], P \times 0)$ with $\partial W = N$ such that $F|_N = f$ under the identification $P \times 0 = P$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Let C_m denote the set consisting of all smooth map germs $(\mathbb{R}^m, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathfrak{m}_m$ denote the ideal in C_m which consists of all smooth map germs vanishing at the origin. For a k -jet $z = j^k f \in J^k(m, q)$ we define the $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra $Q(z) = C_m / (f^*(\mathfrak{m}_q) + \mathfrak{m}_m^{k+1})$. If two $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras A and B are isomorphic, then we write $A \approx B$. Let $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ be subsets of $J^k(n, p)$ and $J^k(n+1, p+1)$ respectively satisfying the conditions:

(C1) $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ are open and $i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p)) \subset \Omega(n+1, p+1)$.

(C2) If a k -jet $z \in J^k(n, p)$ (resp. $z \in J^k(n+1, p+1)$) has a k -jet $w \in \Omega(n, p)$ (resp. $w \in \Omega(n+1, p+1)$) such that $Q(z) \approx Q(w)$, then $z \in \Omega(n, p)$ (resp. $z \in \Omega(n+1, p+1)$).

(C3) If a k -jet $z \in \Omega(n+1, p+1)$ does not lie in $\Sigma^{n+1}(n+1, p+1)$, then there exists a k -jet $w \in \Omega(n, p)$ such that $Q(z) \approx Q(w)$.

By (C2), $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ are invariant with respect to the actions of $L^k(p) \times L^k(n)$ and $L^k(p+1) \times L^k(n+1)$ respectively.

Lemma 5.1. *The open subsets $\Omega^I(n, p)$ and $\Omega^I(n+1, p+1)$ for the symbol $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ satisfy the conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3).*

Proof. The condition (C1) and (C2) are satisfied by [33, 2, Corollary(Morin)].

We consider the usual coordinates $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{p+1})$ of $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$. Since the given k -jet $z \in \Omega(n+1, p+1)$ does not lie in $\Sigma^{n+1}(n+1, p+1)$, z is represented as $z = j_0^k f$ with

$$(y'_1 \circ f(x'), \dots, y'_{p+1} \circ f(x')) = (g^1(x'), \dots, g^{p-n+i_1}(x'), x'_{i_1+1}, \dots, x'_{n+1}),$$

where $g^j \in \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}^2$ under suitable coordinates $x' = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_{n+1})$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $y' = (y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_{p+1})$ of $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$. Let V and W be the subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ defined by the equations $x'_{n+1} = 0$ and $y'_{p+1} = 0$, and let $i_V : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\pi_W : \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \rightarrow W$ be the inclusion and the projection defined by $\pi_W(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_{p+1}) = (y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_p)$ respectively. Let $\dot{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\dot{x}' = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n)$. Define the map $\bar{g} : V \rightarrow W$ by $\bar{g} = \pi_W \circ f \circ i_V$ and the functions $\bar{g}^j$ on V by $\bar{g}^j(\dot{x}) = y'_j \circ \bar{g}(\dot{x})$ ($1 \leq j \leq p$). Replacing the coordinates x'_j and y'_j by x_j and y_j we define the germ $\bar{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ by

$$\bar{f}(\dot{x}) = \begin{cases} (\bar{g}^1(\dot{x}), \bar{g}^2(\dot{x}), \dots, \bar{g}^{p-n+i_1}(\dot{x}), x_{i_1+1}, \dots, x_n) & \text{for } i_1 < n, \\ (\bar{g}^1(\dot{x}), \bar{g}^2(\dot{x}), \dots, \bar{g}^p(\dot{x})) & \text{for } i_1 = n. \end{cases}$$

If we write $g(x')$ for $f(x')$ to avoid the confusion, then

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(z) &= C_{n+1}/(f^*(\mathfrak{m}_{p+1}) + \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}^{k+1}) \\
&= C_{n+1}/(g^*(\mathfrak{m}_{p+1}) + \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}^{k+1}) \\
&\approx C_n/(\bar{g}^*(\mathfrak{m}_p) + \mathfrak{m}_n^{k+1}) \\
&\approx C_n/(\bar{f}^*(\mathfrak{m}_p) + \mathfrak{m}_n^{k+1}) \\
&= Q(j_0^k \bar{f}).
\end{aligned}$$

By [33, 2, Corollary(Morin)], the Thom-Boardman symbol of $j_0^k \bar{f}$ is equal to I . This proves the assertion. $\square$

A point of $\mathbb{R}^m$ is expressed as $x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \cdots + x_m \mathbf{e}_m$ or $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, where $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$ are the canonical orthonormal basis. Let $pr_{p+1} : \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the projection mapping ${}^t(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{p+1})$ to y_{p+1} . Let $j(pr_{p+1}) : J^1(n+1, p+1) \rightarrow J^1(n+1, 1)$ denote the map defined by mapping a 1-jet $j_0^1 f$ to the 1-jet $j_0^1(pr_{p+1} \circ f)$.

Let K be a finite simplicial complex of dimension i and L be its subcomplex of dimension less than i such that $K \setminus L$ is a manifold.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ satisfy (C1), (C2) and (C3) as above. Let $i \leq n < p$ and (K, L) be given as above. Let $\psi : (K, L) \rightarrow (\Omega(n+1, p+1), i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p)))$ be a map such that $\psi|_{(K \setminus L)}$ is smooth. Then there exists a homotopy $\psi_\lambda : (K, L) \rightarrow (\Omega(n+1, p+1), i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p)))$ such that*

- (i) $\psi_0 = \psi$,
- (ii) $\psi_\lambda|_L = \psi|_L$,
- (iii) $j(pr_{p+1}) \circ \pi_1^k \circ \psi_1(u)(\mathbf{e}_i) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{for } i < n+1, \\ \mathbf{e}_{p+1} & \text{for } i = n+1. \end{cases}$

Proof. Let us define $\kappa : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ by $\kappa(u) = (\pi_1^k \circ \psi(u))(\mathbf{e}_{n+1})$. Since $\psi(L) \subset i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p))$, we have that, for any $u \in L$, $\kappa(u) = \mathbf{e}_{p+1}$. Since $\dim K \leq n$, $K \setminus L$ is a manifold and since $\psi|_{(K \setminus L)}$ is smooth, there exists a deformation κ_λ of κ relative to a neighborhood of L with $\kappa_0 = \kappa$ such that the set of λ 's for which κ_λ does not take the value $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ is dense in $[0, 1]$. Consider the fiber bundle $q_{\mathbb{R}^{p+1}} : J^k(n+1, p+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ defined by $q_{\mathbb{R}^{p+1}}(j_0^k f) = j_0^k f(\mathbf{e}_{n+1})$. By applying the covering homotopy property to ψ and κ_λ , there exists a homotopy φ_λ relative to L such that $\varphi_0 = \psi$ and $q_{\mathbb{R}^{p+1}} \circ \varphi_\lambda = \kappa_\lambda$. Since $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ is an open subset and K is compact, there exists a $r \in [0, 1]$ such that if $\lambda \leq r$, then $\varphi_\lambda(K) \subset \Omega(n+1, p+1)$ and κ_r does not take the value $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$.

In the proof an element of $GL(m)$ is regarded as a linear isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let $h_\lambda^1 : (K, L) \rightarrow (GL(p+1), E_{p+1})$ be the homotopy defined by $h_\lambda^1(u) = ((1-\lambda) + \lambda/\|\kappa_r(u)\|)E_{p+1}$. Then we have $h_\lambda^1(u)(\kappa_r(u)) \in S^p$, and we may assume without loss of generality that $h_\lambda^1(u)(\kappa_r(u)) \neq -\mathbf{e}_{p+1}$ for any $u \in K$. By considering the rotation which is the identity on all points orthogonal to both $\kappa_r(u)$ and $\mathbf{e}_{p+1}$ and rotate the great circle through $\kappa_r(u)$ and $\mathbf{e}_{p+1}$ so as to carry $\kappa_r(u)$ to $\mathbf{e}_{p+1}$ (when $\kappa_r(u) = \mathbf{e}_{p+1}$, we consider E_{p+1}), we have the homotopy $h_\lambda^2 : (K, L) \rightarrow (SO(p+1), E_{p+1})$ relative to L such that $h_0^2(u) = E_{p+1}$ and $h_\lambda^2(u) \circ h_\lambda^1(u)(\kappa_r(u)) = \mathbf{e}_{p+1}$ for any $u \in K$. Let $h_\lambda : (K, L) \rightarrow (GL(p+1), E_{p+1})$ be the homotopy defined by $h_\lambda = h_{2\lambda}^1$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1/2$ and $h_\lambda = h_{2\lambda-1}^2 \circ h_1^1$ for $1/2 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Define $\mathcal{K}^J : K \rightarrow J^1(n+1, 1)$ by

$$\mathcal{K}^J(u) = j(pr_{p+1}) \circ \pi_1^k \circ (h_1(u) \circ \varphi_r(u)).$$

Since $\mathcal{K}^J(u)$ is of rank 1 for any $u \in K$, we have the unique vector $\mathbf{v}(u)$ of length 1 such that $\mathbf{v}(u)$ is perpendicular to $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{K}^J(u))$ and that $\mathcal{K}^J(u)(\mathbf{v}(u))$ is directed to the same orientation of $\mathbf{e}_{p+1}$. Since $h_1(u)(\kappa_r(u)) = \mathbf{e}_{p+1}$, $\mathbf{v}(u)$ cannot be equal to $-\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$. We set $v(u) = \|\mathcal{K}^J(u)(\mathbf{v}(u))\|$.

Note that $\mathbf{v}(u) \neq -\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$ for any $u \in K$. By considering the rotation which is the identity on all points orthogonal to both $\mathbf{v}(u)$ and $\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$ and rotate the great circle through $\mathbf{v}(u)$ and $\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$ so as to carry $\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$ to $\mathbf{v}(u)$, we again have the homotopy $H_\lambda^1 : (K, L) \rightarrow (SO(n+1), E_{n+1})$ relative to L such that $H_0^1(u) = E_{n+1}$ and $H_1^1(u)(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) = \mathbf{v}(u)$ for any $u \in K$. Let $H_\lambda^2 : (K, L) \rightarrow (GL(n+1), E_{n+1})$ be the homotopy relative to L defined by $H_\lambda^2(u) = ((1-\lambda) + \lambda/v(u))E_{n+1}$. Let $H_\lambda : (K, L) \rightarrow (GL(n+1), E_{n+1})$ be the homotopy defined by $H_\lambda(u) = H_{2\lambda}^1(u)$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1/2$ and $H_\lambda(u) = H_{2\lambda-1}^2(u) \circ H_1^1(u)$ for $1/2 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then we have that, for any $u \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^J(u) \circ H_1(u)(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= \mathcal{K}^J(u) \circ H_1^2(u) \circ H_1^1(u)(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) \\ &= \mathcal{K}^J(u) \circ H_1^2(u)(\mathbf{v}(u)) \\ &= \mathcal{K}^J(u)(\mathbf{v}(u))/v(u) \\ &= \mathbf{e}_{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H_1^1(u) \in SO(n+1)$ and $\mathbf{e}_i$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$ ($i < n+1$), $H_1^1(u)(\mathbf{e}_i)$ is orthogonal to $H_1^1(u)(\mathbf{e}_{n+1}) = \mathbf{v}(u)$. Namely, $H_1(u)(\mathbf{e}_i)$ lies in $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{K}^J(u))$. Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{K}^J(u) \circ H_1(u)(\mathbf{e}_i) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for } i < n+1.$$

Define the homotopy $\psi_\lambda : (K, L) \rightarrow (\Omega(n+1, p+1), i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p)))$ relative to L by

$$\psi_\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{3\lambda r}(u) & \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1/3, \\ h_{3\lambda-1}(u) \circ \varphi_r(u) & \text{for } 1/3 \leq \lambda \leq 2/3, \\ h_1(u) \circ \varphi_r(u) \circ H_{3\lambda-2}(u) & \text{for } 2/3 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

By the definition we have

$$j(pr_{p+1}) \circ \pi_1^k \circ \psi_1(u)(\mathbf{e}_i) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{for } i < n+1, \\ \mathbf{e}_{p+1} & \text{for } i = n+1. \end{cases}$$

This is what we want. $\square$

Proposition 5.3. *Under the same assumption of Lemma 5.2, we have a homotopy $\Psi_\lambda : (K, L) \rightarrow (\Omega(n+1, p+1), i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p)))$ such that*

- (i) $\Psi_0 = \psi$,
- (ii) $\Psi_\lambda|L = \psi|L$,
- (iii) $\Psi_1(K) \subset i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p))$.

Proof. Let ψ_λ be the homotopy given in Lemma 5.2. Let us express $\psi_\lambda(u) = (f_\lambda^1(u), f_\lambda^2(u), \dots, f_\lambda^{p+1}(u))$ using the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$, where $f_\lambda^i(u)$ is regarded as a polynomial of degree k with constant 0. We note that

$$f_\lambda^{p+1}(u)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_{n+1} + \text{higher term}.$$

Let $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ denote the set of all germs of local diffeomorphisms of $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbf{0})$. Let us define a homotopy of maps $\Phi_\lambda : (K, L) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ by

$$\Phi_\lambda(u)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} + \lambda(f_\lambda^{p+1}(u) - x_{n+1})).$$

It is obvious that $\Phi_\lambda(u)$ is a germ of a diffeomorphism of $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbf{0})$. Then we have the inverse $\Phi_\lambda(u)^{-1}$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad pr_{p+1} \circ \psi_1(u) \circ \Phi_1(u)^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_{n+1}.$$

We now define $\phi_\lambda : (K, L) \rightarrow (\Omega(n+1, p+1), i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p)))$ by

$$\phi_\lambda(u) = \psi_1 \circ j_0^k(\Phi_\lambda(u)^{-1}).$$

Next we exclude the terms containing x_{n+1} from $y_j \circ \phi_\lambda$ ($1 \leq j \leq p$). Let $\eta_\lambda : (K, L) \rightarrow (J^k(n+1, p+1), J^k(n, p))$ be the homotopy defined by

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_\lambda(u)(x) &= (1-\lambda)\phi_1(u)((x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \lambda(\phi_1(u)(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) + (0, \dots, 0, x_{n+1}))). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\eta_1(K) \subset i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p))$ and that $\eta_\lambda(L) = \psi|L$. It remains to prove that η_λ is a homotopy into $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$. It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that

$$pr_{p+1} \circ \eta_\lambda(u)(x) = (1-\lambda)(x_{n+1}) + \lambda x_{n+1} = x_{n+1}.$$

Let us express $\eta_\lambda(u) = (g_\lambda^1(u), g_\lambda^2(u), \dots, g_\lambda^{p+1}(u))$, where $g_i(u)$ is regarded as a polynomial of degree k with constant 0. Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{I}_\lambda(u)$ generated by $g_\lambda^1(u), g_\lambda^2(u), \dots, g_\lambda^{p+1}(u)$ in $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}/\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}^{k+1}$. Then $\mathfrak{I}_\lambda(u)$ is constantly equal to $\mathfrak{I}_0(u)$, and hence $Q(\eta_\lambda(u)) \approx Q(\psi_1(u))$. Since $\psi_1(u) \in \Omega(n+1, p+1)$, we have $\eta_\lambda(u) \in \Omega(n+1, p+1)$ by (C2). Then the required homotopy Ψ_λ is defined by $\Psi_\lambda = \psi_{3\lambda}$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1/3$), $\Psi_\lambda = \phi_{3\lambda-1}$ ($1/3 \leq \lambda \leq 2/3$) and $\Psi_\lambda = \eta_{3\lambda-2}$ ($2/3 \leq \lambda \leq 1$). $\square$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $n < p$. Let $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ denote the open subspaces, which satisfy (C1), (C2) and (C3). Then $(i_{+1})_* : \pi_i(\Omega(n, p)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\Omega(n+1, p+1))$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$.*

Proof. Let $\iota_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\iota_{n+1} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ denote the map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0), \\ \iota_{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

We first prove that $(i_{+1})_*$ is surjective for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Indeed, let $[a] \in \pi_i(\Omega(n+1, p+1))$ be represented by $a : (S^i, \mathbf{e}_1) \rightarrow (\Omega(n+1, p+1), \iota_{n+1})$. Then by Proposition 5.3 we have a homotopy $\varphi_\lambda : (S^i, \mathbf{e}_1) \rightarrow (\Omega(n+1, p+1), \iota_{n+1})$ such that $\varphi_1(S^i) \subset i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p))$.

Next let $[b] \in \pi_i(\Omega(n, p))$ be represented by $b : (S^i, \mathbf{e}_1) \rightarrow (\Omega(n, p), \iota_n)$ such that $(i_{+1})_*([b]) = 0$. Then we have a homotopy $\tilde{\varphi} : S^i \times I \rightarrow (\Omega(n+1, p+1), \iota_{n+1})$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}|S^i \times 0 = i_{+1} \circ b$ under the identification $S^i = S^i \times 0$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(\mathbf{e}_1 \times I \cup S^i \times 1) = \iota_{n+1}$. It follows from Proposition 5.3 that if $i < n$, then there exists a homotopy $\Phi_\lambda : (S^i \times I, S^i \times 0 \cup \mathbf{e}_1 \times I \cup S^i \times 1)$ relative to $S^i \times 0 \cup \mathbf{e}_1 \times I \cup S^i \times 1$ such that $\Phi_1(S^i \times I) \subset i_{+1}(\Omega(n, p))$. This prove the injectivity of $(i_{+1})_*$. $\square$

Proposition 5.5. *Let (i) $n < p$, or (ii) $n = p > 1$ and $I = (1, 0)$. Then $(i_{+1})_* : \pi_i(\Omega^I(n, p)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\Omega^I(n+1, p+1))$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$.*

Proof. The case (i) follows from Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.4.

The case (ii) is proved as follows. Let $d : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the diffeomorphism defined by $d(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = (x_{n+1}, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and let $d^\Omega : \Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1) \rightarrow \Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1)$ be the diffeomorphism, which maps a k -jet $j_0^k f$ to $j_0^k(d \circ f \circ d^{-1})$.

Let $j : SO(n+1) \rightarrow SO(n+2)$ be the map defined by $j(A) = (1) \dot{+} A$ for $A \in SO(n+1)$. Let us recall the topological embedding $i_m^{SO} : SO(m+1) \rightarrow \Omega^{(1,0)}(m, m)$ defined in [6, Proposition 2.4], which is equivariant with respect to the action of $SO(m) \times SO(m)$ and that $i_m^{SO}(SO(m+1))$ is a deformation retract of $\Omega^{(1,0)}(m, m)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram. The proof of commutativity is a rather wearisome calculation using the definition of i_m^{SO} , and so it is left to the reader.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SO(n+1) & \xrightarrow{j} & SO(n+2) \\ i_{n+1}^{SO} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{n+2}^{SO} \\ \Omega^{(1,0)}(n, n) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1) \xrightarrow{d^\Omega} \Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1), \end{array}$$

Then the assertion of the corollary follows from the corresponding assertion for the map j . $\square$

Recall that $\mathbf{\Omega}_n = \Omega(\gamma_{G_n}^n, TP)$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}_{n+1} = \Omega(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$. Then Theorem 1.2 follows from Lemma 5.1, Proposition 5.5 and the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ denote the open subspaces, which satisfy (C1), (C2) and (C3) as in Proposition 5.4 when $n < p$, or $\Omega^{(1,0)}(n, n)$ and $\Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1)$ when $n = p$ respectively. Let G_n and G_{n+1} refers to $G_{n,\ell}$ and $G_{n+1,\ell}$ in the nonoriented case (resp. $\tilde{G}_{n,\ell}$ and $\tilde{G}_{n+1,\ell}$ in the oriented case). Then the homomorphism*

$$T(\mathbf{b}(\hat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})})_* : \pi_{i+\ell}(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_n}^\ell)) \longrightarrow \pi_{i+\ell}(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}}^\ell))$$

is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$.

Proof. We first show that $(i^G)_* : \pi_i(G_n) \rightarrow \pi_i(G_{n+1})$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$. We give a proof only in the nonoriented case, since the proof in the oriented case is analogous. Let us consider the canonical maps

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 : O(n+\ell+1)/O(\ell) \times O(n) \times E_1 &\longrightarrow G_{n+1,\ell}, \\ q_2 : O(n+\ell+1)/O(\ell) \times O(n) \times E_1 &\longrightarrow O(n+\ell+1)/O(n+\ell) \times E_1 = S^{n+\ell}, \\ j : G_{n,\ell} &\rightarrow O(n+\ell+1)/O(\ell) \times O(n) \times E_1. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that q_1 and q_2 yield the structures of the fiber bundles with the fibers S^n and $G_{n,\ell}$, which is included by j , respectively. Since $j_* : \pi_i(G_{n,\ell}) \rightarrow \pi_i(O(n+\ell+1)/O(\ell) \times O(n) \times E_1)$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n+\ell-1$ and since $(q_1)_* : \pi_i(O(n+\ell+1)/O(\ell) \times O(n) \times E_1) \rightarrow \pi_i(G_{n+1,\ell})$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$, the assertion follows.

Let us recall the fiber map $\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})}$ covering $i^G \times id_P$. We next prove that

$$(5.3) \quad (\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})})_* : \pi_i(\mathbf{\Omega}_n) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathbf{\Omega}_{n+1})$$

is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$. Let us consider the diagram which is induced from the homomorphisms $(\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})})_*$ of the exact sequence of the homotopy groups for the fiber bundle $\mathbf{\Omega}_n$ over $G_{n,\ell} \times P$ to the exact sequence of the fiber bundle $\mathbf{\Omega}_{n+1}$ over $G_{n+1,\ell} \times P$. Then the second assertion about (5.3) follows from [14, Lemma 3.2], the first assertion about $(i^G)_*$ and Proposition 5.4. Then it follows from [40, Section 5, 9 Theorem] that

$$(5.4) \quad (\mathbf{j}^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})})_* : H_i(\mathbf{\Omega}_n) \rightarrow H_i(\mathbf{\Omega}_{n+1})$$

is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$. By virtue of the Thom Isomorphism Theorem, we have that

$$T(\mathbf{b}(\widehat{\gamma})^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})})_* : H_{i+\ell}(T(\widehat{\gamma}_{\Omega_n}^\ell)) \longrightarrow H_{i+\ell}(T(\widehat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}}^\ell))$$

is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$. Since both of Thom spaces are simply connected, the assertion of theorem follows from the Hurewicz Isomorphism Theorem. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{K}^k$ denote the group of k -jets of contact transformations, which acts on $J^k(m, q)$, defined in [30, (2.6)]. The $\mathcal{K}^k$ -orbit of $z \in J^k(m, q)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{K}^k z$. It is known [31, Theorem 2.1] that z' lies in $\mathcal{K}^k z$ if and only if $Q(z') \approx Q(z)$. Hence, given a $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra Q which has a k -jet z with $Q \approx Q(z)$, we write $\Sigma_Q = \mathcal{K}^k z$. In general, $Q(z)$ is written as $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_m]/(f_1, \dots, f_q) + \mathfrak{m}_m^{k+1}$, and hence let b denote the minimal number of generators for the ideal $(f_1, \dots, f_q)$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_m^{k+1}$. If we define the integer $\iota(Q) = m - b$, then it is easy to see that $\iota(Q)$ is an invariant of the isomorphism class of Q . Du Plessis[17] has proved the following theorem (see also several examples which are concerned with simple singularities).

Theorem 5.7 (17). *Let $\Omega(m, q)$ be an open set of $J^k(m, q)$, which is invariant with respect to the action of $\mathcal{K}^k$. Assume that for each $\mathcal{K}^k$ -orbit $\Sigma_Q \subset \Omega(m, q)$, there exists a $\mathcal{K}^k$ -orbit $\Sigma_{Q'} \subset \Omega(m, q)$ for another $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra Q' such that $\Sigma_{Q'} \subset \text{Closure}(\Sigma_Q)$ and $-\iota(Q') < q - m$. Then $\Omega(m, q)$ is extensible.*

Lemma 5.8. *Assume that $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ satisfy (C1), (C2) and (C3) and that $\Omega(n+1, p+1) \cap \Sigma^{n+1}(n+1, p+1) = \emptyset$. Assume that $\Omega(n, p)$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 5.7 for $(m, q) = (n, p)$. Then $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ also satisfies the assumption of Theorem 5.7 for $(m, q) = (n+1, p+1)$. Namely, $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ are extensible.*

In particular, the pair $(\Omega(n, p), \Omega(n+1, p+1))$ is admissible to the h -Principle.

Proof. Take a $\mathcal{K}^k$ -orbit $\Sigma_Q \subset \Omega(n+1, p+1)$. Then there exists k -jets $z_1 \in \Sigma_Q$ and $z_2 \in \Omega(n, p)$ with $Q \approx Q(z_1) \approx Q(z_2)$ by (C3). Hence, $\mathcal{K}^k z_2 \subset \Omega(n, p)$. By assumption, there exists a $\mathcal{K}^k$ -orbit $\Sigma_{Q'}$ for another $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra Q' such that $\Sigma_{Q'} \subset \text{Closure}(\mathcal{K}^k z_2)$ and $-\iota(Q') < p - n$. By (C1), $i_{+1}(\mathcal{K}^k z_2) \subset \Omega(n+1, p+1)$ and $i_{+1}(\Sigma_{Q'}) \subset i_{+1}(\text{Closure}(\mathcal{K}^k z_2))$. Since $Q(z_2) \approx Q$, we have $\mathcal{K}^k(i_{+1}(z_2)) = \Sigma_Q$, and hence we have

$$i_{+1}(\text{Closure}(\mathcal{K}^k z_2)) \subset \text{Closure}(\mathcal{K}^k(i_{+1}(z_2))) = \text{Closure}(\Sigma_Q).$$

Since the $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras associated to $i_{+1}(\Sigma_{Q'})$ are all isomorphic to Q' , the $\mathcal{K}^k$ -orbit of $i_{+1}(\Sigma_{Q'})$ in $J^k(n+1, p+1)$ is contained in $\text{Closure}(\Sigma_Q)$. This is what we want to prove. $\square$

If we note $\text{codim} \Sigma^{n+1}(n+1, p+1) = (n+1)(p+1)$, we have the following corollary of Theorems 4.2, 5.6 and Lemma 5.8.

Corollary 5.9. *Let $n < p$. Assume that $\Omega(n, p)$ and $\Omega(n+1, p+1)$ satisfy (C1), (C2) and (C3). Assume that $\Omega(n, p)$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 5.7 for*

$(m, q) = (n, p)$. Then the homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\mathfrak{N}}^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})} : \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})} &\longrightarrow \pi_{n+\ell} \left(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega(\gamma_{G_{n+1}, \ell}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)})^\ell \right) \\ \omega_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})} : \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1})} &\longrightarrow \pi_{n+\ell} \left(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega(\gamma_{G_{n+1}, \ell}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)})^\ell \right)\end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms.

6. COBORDISMS OF FOLD-MAPS

In this section we study $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)})}$ in the equidimension $n = p$, where G_{n+1} refers to $\tilde{G}_{n+1, \ell}$. For this we study $\pi_{n+\ell}(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}}^\ell)$ in place by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and prove Theorem 1.3. Take a Riemannian metric on P .

Let $SO_{n+2}(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1} \oplus \varepsilon_{G_{n+1}}^1, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^2)$ denote the total space of the open subbundle of $\text{Hom}(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1} \oplus \varepsilon_{G_{n+1}}^1, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^2)$, which is associated to $SO(n+2)$. Namely, it consists of all isomorphisms which preserve orientations and norms of vectors of fibers. Since the topological embedding $i_{n+1}^{SO} : SO(n+2) \rightarrow \Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1)$ in [6, Proposition 2.4] is equivariant with respect to the action of $SO(n+1) \times SO(n+1)$ and since $i_{n+1}^{SO}(SO(n+2))$ is a deformation retract of $\Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1)$, there exists the homotopy equivalent fiber map

$$i_{SO} : SO_{n+2}(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1} \oplus \varepsilon_{G_{n+1}}^1, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^2) \longrightarrow \Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)} = \Omega^{(1,0)}(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1}, TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$$

over $G_{n+1} \times P$ associated to i_{n+1}^{SO} . The image is denoted by $\mathbf{SO}_{n+2}$. Furthermore, i_{SO} induces the bundle map

$$\mathfrak{B} : \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}}^\ell|_{\mathbf{SO}_{n+2}} \longrightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}}^\ell|_{\Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)}}$$

covering the inclusion $\mathbf{SO}_{n+2} \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)}$.

Proposition 6.1. (i) The fiber map i_{SO} is a homotopy equivalence.

(ii) The Thom map

$$T(\mathfrak{B}) : T\left(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}}^\ell|_{\mathbf{SO}_{n+2}}\right) \longrightarrow T\left(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}}^\ell|_{\Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)}}\right)$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Let $SO(TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$ denote the total space of the principal bundle over P associated to $TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1$, whose fiber is $SO(n+1)$. Let $(SO(\ell) \times E_{n+1}) \backslash SO(n+\ell+1)$ be the Stiefel manifold. Consider the natural actions of $SO(n+1)$ on $SO(TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)$ from the right-hand side and on $SO(n+2)$ through $SO(n+1) \times E_1$ from the left-hand side, and the natural actions of $SO(n+1)$ on $SO(n+2)$ through $SO(n+1) \times E_1$ from the right-hand side and on $(SO(\ell) \times E_{n+1}) \backslash SO(n+\ell+1)$ from the left-hand side respectively. Then we can express as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{SO}_{n+2} &= SO(TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1) \times_{SO(n+1)} SO(n+2) \\ &\quad \times_{SO(n+1)} ((SO(\ell) \times E_{n+1}) \backslash SO(n+\ell+1)).\end{aligned}$$

Identify the quotient space $SO(TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1)/SO(n+1)$ with P . Then we have the projection $pr_P^{SO} : \mathbf{SO}_{n+2} \rightarrow P$ by forgetting the component $SO(n+2) \times_{SO(n+1)}$

$((SO(\ell) \times E_{n+1}) \backslash SO(n+\ell+1))$, denoted by $\mathfrak{f}$, which is the canonical fiber of pr_P^{SO} . Let $pr_{S^{n+1}}^{\mathfrak{f}} : \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow SO(n+2)/SO(n+1) = S^{n+1}$ be the projection forgetting the component $(SO(\ell) \times E_{n+1}) \backslash SO(n+\ell+1)$. Since the last space is $(\ell-1)$ -connected,

$$(6.1) \quad (pr_{S^{n+1}}^{\mathfrak{f}})_* : \pi_i(\mathfrak{f}) \rightarrow \pi_i(S^{n+1})$$

is an isomorphism for $i < \ell$. Hence, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *The homomorphism $(pr_P^{SO})_* : \pi_i(\mathbf{SO}_{n+2}) \rightarrow \pi_i(P)$ is an isomorphism for $i < n+1$ and is an epimorphism for $i = n+1$.*

Let us define a bundle map

$$\mathbf{b}_T : (\pi_{G_{n+1}}^k)^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1})|_{\mathbf{so}_{n+2}} \longrightarrow TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1$$

as follows. We denote an element of $\mathbf{SO}_{n+2}$ and $(\pi_{G_{n+1}}^k)^*(\gamma_{G_{n+1}}^{n+1})|_{\mathbf{so}_{n+2}}$ by $[a, y, h]$ and by $[a, y, h, \mathbf{v}]$ respectively, where $a \in G_{n+1}$, $y \in P$, $\mathbf{v} \in a$ and $h : a \rightarrow T_y P \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is an isomorphism preserving orientations and norms. Then we set $\mathbf{b}_T([a, y, h, \mathbf{v}]) = h(\mathbf{v})$. Let us consider the trivialization $(t_P \oplus id_P \times \mathbb{R}) \circ (id_{TP} \oplus \mathbf{k}_P^\wedge) : TP \oplus \varepsilon_P^1 \oplus \nu_P^\ell \rightarrow \varepsilon_P^{n+\ell+1}$. By [6, Proposition 3.3], there exists a bundle map

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbf{b}_\nu : \hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)}}^\ell |_{\mathbf{so}_{n+2}} \rightarrow \nu_P^\ell$$

such that $(t_P \oplus id_P \times \mathbb{R}) \circ (id_{TP} \oplus \mathbf{k}_P^\wedge) \circ (\mathbf{b}_T \oplus \mathbf{b}_\nu) \circ t_{\Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)}} |_{\mathbf{so}_{n+2}}$ is homotopic to $pr_P^{SO} \times id_{\mathbb{R}^{n+\ell+1}}$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *The homomorphism induced from the Thom map of $\mathbf{b}_\nu$*

$$T(\mathbf{b}_\nu)_* : \pi_{i+\ell} \left(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)}}^\ell |_{\mathbf{so}_{n+2}}) \right) \longrightarrow \pi_{i+\ell} (T(\nu_P^\ell))$$

is an isomorphism for $i < n+1$ and is an epimorphism for $i = n+1$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.2, (6.2), [40, Section 5, 9 Theorem] and the Thom Isomorphism Theorem,

$$T(\mathbf{b}_\nu)_* : H_{i+\ell} \left(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)}}^\ell |_{\mathbf{so}_{n+2}}) \right) \longrightarrow H_{i+\ell} (T(\nu_P^\ell))$$

is an isomorphism for $i < n+1$ and is an epimorphism for $i = n+1$. Since $T((\pi_{G_{n+1}}^k)^*(\hat{\gamma}_{G_{n+1}}^\ell)|_{\mathbf{so}_{n+2}})$ and $T(\nu_P^\ell)$ are simply connected, it follows from the Hurewicz Isomorphism Theorem that

$$T(\mathbf{b}_\nu)_* : \pi_{i+\ell} \left(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_{n+1}^{(1,0)}}^\ell |_{\mathbf{so}_{n+2}}) \right) \longrightarrow \pi_{i+\ell} (T(\nu_P^\ell))$$

is an isomorphism for $i < n+1$ and is an epimorphism for $i = n+1$. $\square$

According to [39], let $\{S^{n+\ell}, T(\nu_P^\ell)\}$ denote the set of S-homotopy classes of S-maps $S^i \wedge S^{n+\ell} \rightarrow S^i \wedge T(\nu_P^\ell)$ ($i \geq 0$). An element of $\{S^{n+\ell}, T(\nu_P^\ell)\}$ represented by a map $\alpha : S^{n+\ell} \rightarrow S^i \wedge T(\nu_P^\ell)$ is written as $\{\alpha\}$. Since $\ell \gg n$, $\{S^{n+\ell}, T(\nu_P^\ell)\}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{n+\ell} (T(\nu_P^\ell))$. It has been proved in [35, Lemma 2] that $T(\nu_P^\ell)$ is the S-dual space of $P^0 = P \cup *_P$, where $*_P$ is the base point. Namely, we have the isomorphism $\{S^{n+\ell}, T(\nu_P^\ell)\} \approx \{S^\ell P^0, S^\ell\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)})}(d)$ denote the subset of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)})}$ which consists of all fold-maps into P of degree d . In particular, $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)})}(0)$ is a submodule of $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)})}$. Let $\{S^{n+\ell}, T(\nu_P^\ell)\}_d$ denote the subset of $\{S^{n+\ell}, T(\nu_P^\ell)\}$ which

consists of all S-maps of degree d . By Theorem 1.1, Proposition 6.1 and Lemma 6.3, $\mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)})}(d)$ is mapped bijectively onto $\{S^{n+\ell}, T(\nu_P^\ell)\}_d$.

We prove the following refined form of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $n = p \geq 2$ and P be a closed, connected, oriented n -dimensional manifold. Then there exist a bijection $\omega_d : \mathfrak{Cob}_{n,P}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)})}(d) \rightarrow [P, F^d]$.*

Proof. Let us define the map $c_F : \{S^\ell P^0, S^\ell\} \rightarrow [P, F]$. Let $\{\beta\} \in \{S^\ell P^0, S^\ell\}$ be represented by $\beta : S^\ell P^0 \rightarrow S^\ell$. For a point $x \in P$ we define $\beta(x) : S^\ell = S^0 \wedge S^\ell \rightarrow S^\ell$ by $(\beta|_{\{*_P \cup x\}} \wedge S^\ell) \circ (\iota_x \wedge id_{S^\ell})$, where $\iota_x : S^0 \rightarrow \{*_P \cup x\}$ is the canonical identification. Then we set $c_F(\{\beta\})(x) = \{\beta(x)\}$. It is easy to check that c_F is bijective. Furthermore, we have proved in [7, Lemma 2.4] that c_F maps $\{S^{n+\ell}, T(\nu_P^\ell)\}_d$ to the subset of $\{S^\ell P^0, S^\ell\}$ which consists of all $\{\beta\}$ such that $\beta(x)$ is of degree d , namely $c_F(\{\beta\})(x) \in F_d$ for any $x \in P$. This proves the assertion. $\square$

Let π_n^S denote the n -th stable homotopy group of spheres $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+\ell}(S^\ell)$. It follows from [2] that $[S^n, F^0]$ is canonically isomorphic to π_n^S . So identifying $[S^n, F^0]$ with π_n^S , we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.5. *The map $\omega_0 : \mathfrak{Cob}_{n,S^n}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)})}(0) \rightarrow \pi_n^S$ is an isomorphism.*

7. STABLE MAPS OF SPHERES

Let $\mathfrak{F}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^I)} : \mathfrak{Cob}_{n,S^n}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)})}(0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Cob}_{n,S^n}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^I)}(0)$ denote the homomorphism which maps an $\Omega^{(1,0)}$ -cobordism class $[f]$ to the Ω^I -cobordism class of f . Let $I([f])$ denote the smallest symbol I such that $\mathfrak{F}^{(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \Omega^I)}([f])$ is a null element. Then there exists an $\Omega^{I([f])}$ -regular cobordism $F : (V, \partial V) \rightarrow (S^n \times I, S^n \times 0)$ such that $\partial V = N$, the collar of ∂V is identified with $N \times [0, \varepsilon]$, and $F|_{N \times [0, \varepsilon]} = f \times id_{[0, \varepsilon]}$. In this section we show that the singularities of symbol $I([f])$ of F detect the stable homotopy class $\omega_0([f]) \in \pi_n^S$ in low dimensions. We have to prepare some machinery for this purpose, although the dimensions are low.

Let $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ denote the total tangent bundle defined on $J^\infty(V, Y)$ and $(\pi_Y^\infty)^*(TY)$ respectively. Let us recall the fundamental property of $\mathbf{D}$ over $J^\infty(V, Y)$. Let $f : (V, x) \rightarrow (Y, y)$ be a map defined on a neighborhood U_x of x with coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ and F be a smooth function in the sense of [13, Definition 1.4] defined on a neighborhood of $j_x^\infty f$. We have the local vector fields D_i defined around z with the property

$$D_i F \circ j^\infty f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (F \circ j^\infty f) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n+1),$$

which span $\mathbf{D}$. It follows that $d(j^\infty f)(\partial/\partial x_i)(F) = D_i F(j^\infty f)$, where $d(j^\infty f) : TV \rightarrow T(J^\infty(V, Y))$ around x . This implies $d(j^\infty f)(\partial/\partial x_i) = D_i$. Hence, we have $\mathbf{D} \cong (\pi_Y^\infty)^*(TV)$. There have been defined the homomorphism $\mathbf{d}_1 : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ over $J^\infty(V, Y)$. If $z = j_x^\infty f$, then $\mathbf{d}_{1,z}(D_i) = (z, d_x f(\partial/\partial x_i))$. The manifold $\Sigma^1(V, Y)$ is defined to be the submanifold of $J^\infty(V, Y)$ which consists of all jets z such that the kernel rank of $\mathbf{d}_{1,z}$ is 1. Since $\mathbf{d}_1|_{\Sigma^1(V, Y)}$ is of constant rank n , we set $\mathbf{K}_1 = \text{Ker}(\mathbf{d}_1)$ and $\mathbf{P}_1 = \text{Cok}(\mathbf{d}_1)$, which are vector bundles over $\Sigma^1(V, Y)$. Let $\mathbf{1}_r$

denote $\overbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}^r$. The Boardman manifold $\Sigma^{1r}(V, Y)$ ($r \geq 1$) has the following properties ([13]).

(7-i) There exists the $(r+1)$ -th intrinsic derivative

$$\mathbf{d}_{r+1} : T(\Sigma^{1_{r-1}}(V, Y))|_{\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y)} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(S^r \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{P}_1)|_{\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{0},$$

so that $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{d}_{r+1}) = T(\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y))$. Namely, $\mathbf{d}_{r+1}$ induces the isomorphism of the normal bundle $(T(\Sigma^{1_{r-1}}(V, Y))|_{\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y)})/T(\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y))$ of $\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y)$ in $\Sigma^{1_{r-1}}(V, Y)$ onto $\text{Hom}(S^r \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{P}_1)|_{\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y)}$.

(7-ii) $\Sigma^{1_{r+1}}(V, Y)$ is defined to be the submanifold of $\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y)$ which consists of all jets z such that $\mathbf{d}_{r+1, z}[\mathbf{K}_1, z]$ vanishes.

(7-iii) The $(r+2)$ -th intrinsic derivative $\mathbf{d}_{r+2}$ is defined to be the intrinsic derivative

$$d(\mathbf{d}_{r+1}|\mathbf{K}_r) : T(\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y))|_{\Sigma^{1_{r+1}}(V, Y)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{P}_1)|_{\Sigma^{1_{r+1}}(V, Y)}.$$

(7-iv) The submanifold $\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y)$ is actually defined so that it coincides with the inverse image of the submanifold $\tilde{\Sigma}^{1_r}(V, Y)$ in $J^r(V, Y)$ by π_r^∞ . The codimension of $\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y)$ in $J^\infty(V, Y)$ is r .

Theorem 7.1. *Let V be an oriented $(n+1)$ -manifold with ∂V , which may be empty, Y be an oriented $(n+1)$ -manifold and let C be a closed subset of V . Let s be a section of $\Gamma_{\Omega^1}(V, Y)$ which has a fold-map g defined on a neighborhood of C into Y , where $j^\infty g = s$. Then there exists an $\Omega^{(1,1,0)}$ -regular map $f : V \rightarrow Y$ and a homotopy $s_\lambda \in \Gamma_{\Omega^1}(V, Y)$ relative to a neighborhood of C such that $s_0 = s$ and $s_1 = j^\infty f$.*

Proof. In the proof we use the notation introduced in [13]. By (2.2) we always identify $J^r(V, Y)$ with $J^r(TV, TY)$, where r may be ∞ . We may assume that s is transverse to $\Sigma^1(V, Y)$ and we set $S^{1_r}(s) = s^{-1}(\Sigma^{1_r}(V, Y))$. It follows that $(\pi_r^\infty \circ s)(V \setminus (S^{1_r}(s))) \subset \Omega^{1_{r-1}, 0}(V \setminus S^{1_r}(s), Y)$.

We find a section $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\Omega^{(1,1,0)}(V, Y)$ such that $\pi_2^\infty \circ \mathfrak{s} = \pi_2^\infty \circ s$. We set $(s|S^1(s))^* \mathbf{K}_1 = K_1$ and $(s|S^1(s))^* \mathbf{P}_1 = P_1$. Since V and Y are oriented and since K_1 and P_1 are line bundles, we have that K_1 and P_1 are isomorphic. In particular, we have the isomorphism $K_1|_{S^{1_2}(s)} \rightarrow P_1|_{S^{1_2}(s)}$. Consider the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{r}^3 : \text{Hom}(S^3(TV), TY)|_{S^{1_2}(s)} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(S^3 K_1, P_1)|_{S^{1_2}(s)}$$

which is induced from the inclusion $S^3 K_1|_{S^{1_2}(s)} \rightarrow S^3(TV, TY)|_{S^{1_2}(s)}$ and the projection $TY|_{S^{1_2}(s)} \rightarrow P_1|_{S^{1_2}(s)}$. Since $S^3 K_1 \approx K_1$, there exists the isomorphism $\iota^3 : S^3 K_1|_{S^{1_2}(s)} \rightarrow P_1|_{S^{1_2}(s)}$, which induces the isomorphism $K_1|_{S^{1_2}(s)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(S^2 K_1, P_1)|_{S^{1_2}(s)}$. Since $S^{1_2}(s)$ is a closed submanifold of V such that $S^{1_2}(s) \cap C = \emptyset$ in V , there exists a homomorphism $\mathbf{h}^3 : S^3(TV)|_{S^{1_2}(s)} \rightarrow (\pi_Y^\infty \circ s)^*(TY)|_{S^{1_2}(s)}$ such that $\mathbf{r}^3 \circ \mathbf{h}^3 = \iota^3$. We extend $\mathbf{h}^3$ to the homomorphism $\mathbf{H}^3 : S^3(TV) \rightarrow (\pi_Y^\infty \circ s)^*(TY)$. If $(\pi_Y^\infty \circ s)_{TY} : (\pi_Y^\infty \circ s)^*(TY) \rightarrow TY$ denote the canonical bundle map covering $\pi_Y^\infty \circ s$, then we define the section $\mathfrak{s} : V \rightarrow J^\infty(TV, TY)$ so that

$$\pi_3^\infty \circ \mathfrak{s}(x) = \pi_2^\infty \circ s(x) \oplus (\pi_Y^\infty \circ s)_{TY} \circ \mathbf{H}^3|_x$$

and that $\mathfrak{s}$ is the composite of $\pi_3^\infty \circ \mathfrak{s}$ and the canonical inclusion $J^3(TV, TY) \rightarrow J^\infty(TV, TY)$.

We now show that $\mathfrak{s}(V) \subset \Omega^{(1,1,0)}(V, Y)$. In fact, it is obvious that $\mathfrak{s}(V) \subset \Omega^{1_2}(V, Y)$. It remains to prove that if $x \in S^{1_2}(s)$, then

$$(7.1) \quad \mathbf{d}_{3, \mathfrak{s}(x)} : \mathbf{K}_{1, \mathfrak{s}(x)} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(S^2 \mathbf{K}_{1, \mathfrak{s}(x)}, \mathbf{P}_{1, \mathfrak{s}(x)})$$

is an isomorphism. In other words the homomorphism $S^3\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathfrak{s}(x)} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{1,\mathfrak{s}(x)}$ induced from $\mathbf{d}_{3,\mathfrak{s}(x)}$ is an isomorphism. For any point $x \in S^{1_2}(s)$, let $y = \pi_Y^\infty \circ \mathfrak{s}(x)$, U_x and V_y be convex neighborhoods of x and y respectively. Let t and u be the coordinates of $\exp_{V,x}(K_{1,x})$ and $\exp_{Y,y}((\pi_Y^\infty \circ \mathfrak{s})_{TY}(P_{1,y}))$ respectively, where P_1 is regarded as a line subbundle of $(\pi_Y^\infty \circ \mathfrak{s})^*(TY)|_{S^{1_2}(s)}$ by virtue of the metric of Y . It follows from (7.1), (2.2) and the definition of ℓ^3 that

$$(\bigcirc^3 D_t)u|_{\mathfrak{s}(x)} = \partial^3 u / \partial t^3(x) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in S^{1_2}(s).$$

Hence, we have that $\mathfrak{s}(S^{1_2}(s)) \subset \Sigma^{(1,1,0)}(V, Y)$.

By [11, Theorem 0.1] and [22] there exists an $\Omega^{(1,1,0)}$ -regular map $G : V \rightarrow Y$ such that $j^\infty G$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ are homotopic relative to a neighborhood of C as sections of $\Omega^1(V, Y)$ over V . Here, we again note the remark which has been given at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Let ℓ be a natural number with $\ell \gg n$. Let V be an $(n+1)$ -manifold with $\partial V = N$, and let τ_V be the stable ℓ -dimensional tangent bundle of V . Given a fold map $f : N \rightarrow S^n$ of degree 0, we have the bundle map $\mathcal{T}(f) : TN \oplus \varepsilon_N^1 \rightarrow \varepsilon_{S^n}^{n+1}$ by [6, Corollary 2]. Let us consider the obstruction for $\mathcal{T}(f)$ to be extended to the trivialization of τ_V . For this purpose, we have the primary obstruction $\mathfrak{o}(\tau_V, \mathcal{T}(f))$ defined in $H^{i+1}(V, N; \pi_i(SO(\ell)))$ for some i . Let $\widehat{V} = V \cup_N CN$, which is obtained by pasting V and the cone of N . Let $\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))$ be the ℓ -dimensional vector bundle, which is obtained by pasting τ_V and ε_{CN}^ℓ by using $\mathcal{T}(f)$. We have the primary obstruction $\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))) \in H^{i+1}(\widehat{V}; \pi_i(SO(\ell))) \approx H^{i+1}(V, N; \pi_i(SO(\ell)))$ for $\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))$ to be trivial. It is not difficult to see that $\mathfrak{o}(\tau_V, \mathcal{T}(f)) = \pm \mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ under the isomorphism.

Remark 7.2. *In this case we may take $\ell = n + 2$ and consider the subbundle $SO(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^{n+2})$ of $\text{Hom}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^{n+2})$ associated to $SO(n+2)$. Since $i_{n+2}^{SO} : SO(n+2) \rightarrow \Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1)$ is a homotopy equivalence, $\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ coincides with the obstruction to find a section of $\Omega^{(1,0)}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^{n+2})$, namely the Thom polynomial of the closure $Cl(\Sigma^{(1,1)}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^{n+2}))$ (see, for example, [3, Proposition 3.1]). This Thom polynomial is equal to the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ by [36].*

If $n+1 = 4m$ and $\omega_0([f])$ lies in what is called the J -image $J(\pi_{4m-1}(SO(\ell)))$ of order j_m in [1], then we can choose an fold-map f such that $N = S^n$ by [7, Proposition 5.1]. This is also true for the case $n = 1$. Furthermore, we can take V to be a parallelizable manifold. Hence, the above dimension i is equal to n .

We have the following lemma due to [34, Lemma 2]. Let a_m denote 2 for m odd and 1 for m even.

Lemma 7.3 (32). *Let $n+1 = 4m$. Let V be a parallelizable manifold. Then $\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ is related to the m -th Pontrjagin class $P_m(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ by the identity $P_m(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))) = \pm a_m(2m-1)! \mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$.*

We next see how $\mathfrak{o}(\tau_V, \mathcal{T}(f))$ varies depending on the choice of V and f (the following argument is available for the case $n = 1$). Let two fold maps $f_i : S^n \rightarrow S^n$ of degree 0 ($i = 0, 1$) are $\Omega^{(1,0)}$ -cobordant by a cobordism $F : (W, \partial W) \rightarrow (S^n \times I, S^n \times 0 \cup S^n \times 1)$ of degree 0 as in Definition 3.1. Assume that there exists a

parallelizable $(n+1)$ -manifold V_i with $\partial V_i = S^n \times i$. Then we have the bundle maps $\mathcal{T}(f_i) : T(S^n \times i) \oplus \varepsilon_{S^n \times i}^1 \rightarrow \varepsilon_{S^n \times i}^{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{T}(F) : TW \oplus \varepsilon_W^1 \rightarrow \varepsilon_{S^n \times I}^{n+2}$ by [6, Corollary 2] such that $TW|_{S^n \times i} = T(S^n \times i) \oplus \varepsilon_{S^n \times i}^1$ and the stabilizations of $\mathcal{T}(F)|_{N_i}$ and $\mathcal{T}(f_i)$ are equal. Consider the almost parallelizable manifold $\widehat{W} = V_0 \cup_{S^n \times 0} W \cup_{S^n \times 1} (-V_1)$, which is obtained by pasting V_0 , W and V_1 with orientation reversed. Let

$$\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{W})) \in H^{n+1}(\widehat{W}; \pi_n(SO(\ell))) \approx \pi_n(SO(\ell))$$

be the unique primary obstruction for $\tau(\widehat{W})$ to be trivial. Then it is obvious that

$$\mathfrak{o}(\tau_{V_0}, \mathcal{T}(f_0)) - \mathfrak{o}(\tau_{V_1}, \mathcal{T}(f_1)) = \pm \mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{W})) \quad \text{in } \pi_n(SO(\ell)).$$

Define the integer $\mathfrak{m}(n)$ for $n > 1$ to be the minimal nonnegative number such that there exists an $(n+1)$ -dimensional almost parallelizable closed manifold $\widehat{W}'$ such that $\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{W}')) = \mathfrak{m}(n)$. We will see $\mathfrak{m}(1) = 0$ later. We have the following theorem due to [34, Theorems 1 and 2].

Theorem 7.4 (32). *Let $n+1 = 4m$. Then we have*

- (i) *The Pontrjagin class $P_m(\widehat{W}')$ of an almost parallelizable closed manifold $\widehat{W}'$ is divisible by $\pm j_m a_m (2m-1)!$.*
- (ii) *There exists an almost parallelizable closed manifold $\widehat{W}_0$ with $P_m(\widehat{W}_0) = \pm j_m a_m (2m-1)!$.*

Consequently, we have $\mathfrak{m}(n) = j_m$.

Let $\mathbf{P}^n$ denote the real projective space of dimension n . Let $C_+ \mathbf{P}^n$ and $C_- \mathbf{P}^n$ denote the cone of $\mathbf{P}^n \times [0, 1]/\mathbf{P}^n \times 1$ and $\mathbf{P}^n \times [-1, 0]/\mathbf{P}^n \times (-1)$ respectively and let $S\mathbf{P}^n$ denote the suspension $C_+ \mathbf{P}^n \cup_{\mathbf{P}^n} C_- \mathbf{P}^n$. For the projection of the double covering $p_{\mathbf{P}^n} : S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$, let $S(p_{\mathbf{P}^n}) : S^{n+1} \rightarrow S\mathbf{P}^n$ be its suspension.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $n+1 = 4m$. Then we have*

- (i) *$S(p_{\mathbf{P}^n})_* : \pi_i(S^{n+1}) \rightarrow \pi_i(S\mathbf{P}^n)$ is an isomorphism modulo 2-torsion for $0 \leq i \leq n+1$.*
- (ii) *$S(p_{\mathbf{P}^n})_* : \pi_{n+1}(S^{n+1}) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(S\mathbf{P}^n)$ is injective.*

Proof. Since $S\mathbf{P}^n$ is simply connected and $H_i(S\mathbf{P}^n)$ is a 2-torsion for $0 < i < n+1$, the assertion (i) follows from [40, Section 6, 21 Theorem].

Let $\mathbf{q} : S\mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow S(\mathbf{P}^n/\mathbf{P}^{n-1}) = S^{n+1}$ be the collapsing map. Then it is obvious that the degree of $\mathbf{q} \circ S(p_{\mathbf{P}^n})$ is equal to 2. This shows (ii). $\square$

Let us define the map $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow SO(n+1)$ as follows. Let I_- be the $(n+1)$ -matrix $E_n \dot{+} (1)$. For an element $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{P}^n$, take a column vector ${}^t(s_1, \dots, s_{n+1})$ of length 1 representing $\mathbf{v}$. Let $G(\mathbf{v})$ denote the $(n+1)$ -matrix whose (i, j) component is $\delta_{ij} - 2s_i s_j$, where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Then we set $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{v}) = I_- G(\mathbf{v})$. We note that $\mathbf{g}$ is well-known as the characteristic map of the tangent bundle of S^{n+1} (see [41, Section 23.4]). We define the space $\mathcal{M}(n+2)$ to be the union $C_+ \mathbf{P}^n \times SO(n+1) \cup_{\mathbf{P}^n \times SO(n+1)} C_- \mathbf{P}^n \times SO(n+1)$, which is pasted by the diffeomorphism $(\mathbf{v}, S) \rightarrow (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{v})S)$ for $S \in SO(n+1)$. We have the map $\mathbf{p}_{S\mathbf{P}^n} : \mathcal{M}(n+2) \rightarrow S\mathbf{P}^n$, which induces the structure of the fiber bundle with fiber $S(n+1)$.

Let $\mathbf{p}_{S^{n+1}} : SO(n+2) \rightarrow SO(n+2)/SO(n+1) \times E_1 = S^{n+1}$ be the map defined by $\mathbf{p}_{S^{n+1}}(S) = S\mathbf{e}_{n+2}$ for $S \in SO(n+2)$. Let D_+^{n+1} and D_-^{n+1} denote the hemispheres of S^{n+1} which consist of all points $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2})$ such that $x_{n+2} \geq 0$ and $x_{n+2} \leq 0$

respectively. Let us recall that $SO(n+2)$ is identified with the union $D_+^{n+1} \times SO(n+1) \cup_{S^n \times SO(n+1)} D_-^{n+1} \times SO(n+1)$, which is pasted by the diffeomorphism $(\mathbf{s}, S) \rightarrow (\mathbf{s}, \mathfrak{g}([S])S)$. By the construction we have the natural bundle map $p_{\mathcal{M}}^{SO} : SO(n+2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n+2)$ covering $S(p_{\mathbf{P}^n})$.

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} SO(n+2) & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{M}}^{SO}} & \mathcal{M}(n+2) \\ \mathfrak{p}_{S^{n+1}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{p}_{S\mathbf{P}^n} \\ S^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{S(p_{\mathbf{P}^n})} & S\mathbf{P}^n \end{array}$$

According to [5, Theorem 3.5] and [6, Section 2], we have the topological embeddings $i_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}(n+2) \rightarrow \Omega^1(n+1, n+1)$ and $i_{n+1}^{SO} : SO(n+2) \rightarrow \Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1)$ such that $i_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}(n+2))$ and $i_{n+1}^{SO}(SO(n+2))$ are the deformation retracts of $\Omega^1(n+1, n+1)$ and $\Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1)$ respectively and that the following diagram commutes

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} SO(n+2) & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{M}}^{SO}} & \mathcal{M}(n+2) \\ i_{n+1}^{SO} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{\mathcal{M}} \\ \Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1) & \xrightarrow{i_{\Omega^1}^{(1,0)}} & \Omega^1(n+1, n+1), \end{array}$$

where $i_{\Omega^1}^{(1,0)}$ denotes $\pi_1^2|\Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1) : \Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1) \rightarrow \Omega^1(n+1, n+1)$.

Lemma 7.6. *Let $n+1 = 4m$. Then $(p_{\mathcal{M}}^{SO})_* : \pi_n(SO(n+2)) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathcal{M}(n+2))$ is injective.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram, which is induced from the homomorphism $(p_{\mathcal{M}}^{SO})_*$ between the exact sequences for the fiber bundles $\mathfrak{p}_{S^{n+1}}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{S\mathbf{P}^n}$ in (7.2).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_{n+1}(S^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_n(SO(n+1)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(SO(n+2)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_{n+1}(S\mathbf{P}^n) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_n(SO(n+1)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(\mathcal{M}(n+2)) \end{array}$$

It is known that $\pi_n(SO(n+1)) \approx \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_n(SO(n+2)) \approx \mathbb{Z}$ and $\partial : \pi_{n+1}(S^{n+1}) \rightarrow \pi_n(SO(n+1))$ is injective. Then the assertion follows from Lemma 7.5. $\square$

Consider the homomorphism

$$(7.4) \quad (i_{\Omega^1}^{(1,0)})_* : H^{n+1}(\widehat{V}; \pi_n(\Omega^{(1,0)}(n+1, n+1))) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\widehat{V}; \pi_n(\Omega^1(n+1, n+1))),$$

which is injective by (7.3) and Lemma 7.6. Therefore, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 7.7. *Let $n+1 = 4m$. Let V be parallelizable. Then, for the obstruction $\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$, $(i_{\Omega^1}^{(1,0)})_*(\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))))$ becomes the unique obstruction to find a section of $\Omega^1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^{n+2})$.*

We note that $(i_{\Omega^1}^{(1,0)})_*(\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))))$ is not necessarily a primary obstruction.

In the rest of the paper we are only concerned with the case $n < 8$. We have chosen V to be parallelizable. This is justified by the following lemma and $\text{codim}\Sigma^3(n+1, n+1) = 9$. By the definition of $\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))$ in the case $\partial V = S^n$, $\mathcal{T}(f)$ yields the section of $\Omega^1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^{n+2})|_{CS^n}$, which we denote by $s_{\mathcal{T}(f)}$.

Lemma 7.8. *Let $n < 8$ and $\partial V = S^n$. Then we have the following.*

(i) *If $P_1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ does not vanish, then any extension $F : V \rightarrow S^n \times [0, 1]$ such that $F|_{S^n \times 0} = f$ has the singularities of codimension 4 and of type (2).*

(ii) *If $P_1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ vanishes, then we have*

(ii-a) *$s_{\mathcal{T}(f)}$ is extendable to a section of $\Omega^1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^{n+2})$ over $\widehat{V}$ except for a single point in the interior of V . In particular, V is parallelizable.*

(ii-b) *$P_2(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ vanishes if and only if $s_{\mathcal{T}(f)}$ is extendable to a section of $\Omega^1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^{n+2})$ over $\widehat{V}$.*

Proof. (i) is clear.

(ii-a) We triangulate $\widehat{V}$ so that CV is a subcomplex. Since $\text{codim} \Sigma^2(n+1, n+1) = 4$, $\Omega^1(n+1, n+1)$ is 2-connected. By considering the fiber bundle $\mathbf{p}_{S\mathbf{P}^n} : \mathcal{M}(n+2) \rightarrow S\mathbf{P}^n$ it follows that TV is trivial on CV and the 3-skeleton of V . Since $P_1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))) = 0$, it follows from [34, Proof of Lemma 2] that TV is trivial on CV and the 4-skeleton of V . Then the assertion follows from $\pi_i(SO(8)) = 0$ for $i = 4, 5, 6$ by applying the obstruction theory for $\mathbf{p}_{S\mathbf{P}^n} : \mathcal{M}(n+2) \rightarrow S\mathbf{P}^n$ with fiber $SO(8)$.

(ii-b) We can define $\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ by (ii-a), and hence the assertion follows from Lemma 7.3 and Proposition 7.7. $\square$

Let us see that the singularities of symbol $I([f])$ of F actually detect the stable homotopy class $\omega_0([f]) \in \pi_n^S$ for $\pi_1^S \approx \pi_2^S \approx \mathbb{Z}/(2)$, $\pi_3^S \approx \mathbb{Z}/(24)$ and $\pi_7^S \approx \mathbb{Z}/(240)$. We use the above notation. Note that in dimensions $n = 1, 2$, stable tangent bundles τ_V and τ_W are trivial (note that an orientable 3-manifold is parallelizable).

(Case: $n = 1$) We may take $N = S^1$. We have by [41, Section 38] that $\mathfrak{o}(\tau_V, \mathcal{T}(f)) = \mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ is equal to the second Stiefel Whitney class $w_2(\widehat{V})$. This is, as an invariant in $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$, coincides with the number of the singularities of the symbol $(1, 1, 0)$ of F modulo 2, since the Thom polynomial is the dual class of $S^{1,2}(F)$. Hence, we have $\mathfrak{m}(1) = 0$.

(Case: $n = 2$) It follows from Theorem 7.1 that we can choose an $\Omega^{(1,1,0)}$ -regular map F for a fold-map f . Hence, $\mathfrak{o}(\tau_V, \mathcal{T}(f))$, namely $\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ lies in $H^2(\widehat{V}; \pi_1(SO(\ell)))$ and coincides with $w_2(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ by Remark 7.2. Suppose that $w_2(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ vanishes. Since $\pi_2(SO(3)) \approx \{0\}$, the second obstruction in $H^3(\widehat{V}; \pi_2(SO(3)))$, for $\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))$ to be trivial, always vanishes. This implies that $\omega_0([f]) \neq 0$ if and only if $w_2(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ does not vanish for any choice of V and F (consequently, $I([f]) = (1, 1, 0)$).

(Case: $n = 3$) By Theorem 7.4 we have that $\mathfrak{m}(3) = j_1 = 24$ and $a_1 = 2$. By Lemmas 7.3, 7.8 and Proposition 7.7, we have that $\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ is equal to $\pm P_1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))/2$. By [37] the Thom polynomial of $\Sigma^2(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^4)$ is equal to $P_1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$. Consequently, an Ω^2 -regular map F , for an element $\omega_0([f]) \in \pi_3^S \approx \mathbb{Z}/(24)$, has the corresponding algebraic number of the singular points of the symbol $(2, 0)$ modulo 24. We remark that this number coincides with the e -invariant introduced in [1] and [42].

(Case: $n = 7$) By [27, Section 7, Discussions and computations], an element of $\pi_7^S \approx \mathbb{Z}/(240)$ is detected by $P_2(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))/6$ modulo 240. We note $\text{codim} \Sigma^3(n+1, n+1) = 9$. By Theorem 7.4 we have that $\mathfrak{m}(7) = j_2 = 240$ and $a_1 = 1$. Let $f : S^7 \rightarrow S^7$ be a fold map with $\omega_0([f]) \neq 0$. If $P_1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ does not vanish, then

we have that $I([f]) = (2, 0)$ or $(2, 1)$ by Lemma 7.8 (i). If $P_1(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ vanishes, then V becomes parallelizable by Lemma 7.8 (ii). Since $P_2(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ does not vanish for any cobordism $F : (V, S^7) \rightarrow (S^7 \times [0, 1], S^7 \times 0)$ with $F|_{S^7} = f$, the secondary obstruction $(i_{\Omega^1}^{\Omega^{(1,0)}})_*(\mathfrak{o}(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f))))$ does not vanish by Lemmas 7.3, 7.8 and Proposition 7.7. Therefore, we have $I([f]) = (2, 0)$ or $(2, 1)$.

Let $IV_4 = (x^2 + y^2, x^4)$ and $(x^2 + y^3, xy^2)$ stand for the orbit of the k -jets of the C^∞ -stable germs $(\mathbb{R}^8, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^8, 0)$ of the symbols $(2, 0)$ and $(2, 1)$, which are characterized by the local algebras $\mathbb{R}[[x, y]/(x^2 + y^2, x^4)$ and $\mathbb{R}[[x, y]/(x^2 + y^3, xy^2)$, by the group action of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^8, 0) \times \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^8, 0)$ respectively. They have been defined in [32]. If we apply an elaborate result in [20] to the jet bundle $J^k(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)), \varepsilon_{\widehat{V}}^8)$, then we obtain the cycle $\langle (x^2 + y^3, xy^2) - 2IV_4 \rangle$ under the integer coefficients of the Vassiliev complex ([20, Theorem 2.7]) and the Thom polynomial of $\langle (x^2 + y^3, xy^2) - 2IV_4 \rangle$ is equal to $9P_2(\tau(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{T}(f)))$ ([20, Section 3]). We denote the algebraic numbers of the singular points of types $(x^2 + y^3, xy^2)$ and IV_4 by A and B respectively. Then $A - 2B$ is divisible by $6 \cdot 9 = 54$ and $(A - 2B)/54$ corresponds to the stable homotopy class $\omega_0([f])$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, On the groups $J(X)$ IV, *Topology* 5(1966), 21-71.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, Thom complexes, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 11(1961), 291-310.
- [3] Y. Ando, Elimination of certain Thom-Boardman singularities of order two, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 34(1982), 241-267.
- [4] Y. Ando, On local structures of the singularities A_k , D_k and E_k of smooth maps, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 331(1992), 639-651.
- [5] Y. Ando, The homotopy type of the space consisting of regular jets and folding jets in $J^2(n, n)$, *Japanese J. Math.* 24(1998), 169-181.
- [6] Y. Ando, Folding maps and the surgery theory on manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 53(2001), 357-382.
- [7] Y. Ando, Fold-maps and the space of base point preserving maps of spheres, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 41(2002), 691-735.
- [8] Y. Ando, Invariants of fold-maps via stable homotopy groups, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 38(2002), 397-450.
- [9] Y. Ando, Smooth maps having only singularities with Boardman symbol $(1, 0)$, *Topol. Appl.*, 142(2004), 205-226.
- [10] Y. Ando, The homotopy principle in the existence level for maps with only singularities of types A , D and E , submitted in 2003, <http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.GT/0411399>.
- [11] Y. Ando, A homotopy principle for maps with prescribed Thom-Boardman singularities, submitted in 2003, <http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.GT/0309204>.
- [12] V. I. Arnold, Normal forms for functions near degenerate critical points, the Weyl groups A_k , D_k , E_k and Lagrangian singularities, *Funk. Anal. i Prilozhen* 6(1972), 3-25.
- [13] J. M. Boardman, Singularities of differentiable maps, *IHES Publ. Math.* 33(1967), 21-57.
- [14] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [15] A. du Plessis, Maps without certain singularities, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 50(1975), 363-382.
- [16] A. du Plessis, Homotopy classification of regular sections, *Compos. Math.* 32(1976), 301-333.
- [17] A. du Plessis, Contact invariant regularity conditions, *Springer Lecture Notes* 535(1976), 205-236.
- [18] J. M. Éliašberg, On singularities of folding type, *Math. USSR. Izv.* 4(1970), 1119-1134.
- [19] J. M. Éliašberg, Surgery of singularities of smooth mappings, *Math. USSR. Izv.* 6(1972), 1302-1326.
- [20] L. Fehér and R. Rimányi, Thom polynomials with integer coefficients, *Illinois J. Math.* 46(2002), 1145-1158.
- [21] S. Feit, k -mersions of manifolds, *Acta Math.* 122(1969), 173-195.
- [22] M. Gromov, Stable mappings of foliations into manifolds, *Math. USSR. Izv.* 3(1969), 671-694.

- [23] M. Gromov, Partial Differential Relations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1986.
- [24] M. Hirsch, Immersions of manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93(1959), 242-276.
- [25] M. Hirsch, Differential Topology, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [26] F. Hirzebruch, Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1956.
- [27] M. Kervaire and J. Milnor, Groups of homotopy spheres: I, Ann. Math. 77(1963), 504-537.
- [28] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol.1, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [29] H. I. Levine, Singularities of differentiable maps, Proc. Liverpool Singularities Symposium, Springer Lecture Notes 192(1971), 1-85.
- [30] J. N. Mather, Stability of C^∞ mappings, III: Finitely determined map-germs, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. 35(1968), 127-156.
- [31] J. N. Mather, Stability of C^∞ mappings, IV: Classification of stable germs by $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. 37(1970), 223-248.
- [32] J. N. Mather, Stability of C^∞ mappings, VI: The nice dimensions, Proc. Liverpool Singularities Symp. I, Springer Lecture Notes 192(1971), 207-253.
- [33] J. N. Mather, On Thom-Boardman singularities, Dynamical Systems, Academic Press, 1973, 233-248.
- [34] J. Milnor and M. Kervaire, Bernoulli numbers, homotopy groups, and a theorem of Rohlin, Proc. Int. Congress of Math. Edinburgh, 1958, 454-458.
- [35] J. Milnor and E. Spanier, Two remarks on fiber homotopy type, Pacific J. Math. 10(1960), 585-590.
- [36] Porteous, Simple singularities of maps, Proc. Liverpool Singularities Symp. I, Springer Lecture Notes 192(1971), 286-307.
- [37] F. Ronga, Le calcul de la classe de cohomologie entière dual a Σ^k , Proc. Liverpool Singularities Symp. I, Springer Lecture Notes 192(1971), 313-315.
- [38] R. Rimányi and A. Szűcs, Pontrjagin-Thom-type construction for maps with singularities, Topology 37(1998), 1177-1191.
- [39] E. Spanier, Function spaces and duality, Ann. of Math. 70(1959), 338-378.
- [40] E. Spanier, Algebraic Topology, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [41] N. Steenrod, The Topology of Fibre Bundles, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [42] H. Toda, Composition Methods in Homotopy Groups of Spheres, Ann. Math. Study 49, Princeton, 1962.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY,
 YAMAGUCHI 753-8512, JAPAN
E-mail address: andoy@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp